Executive Summary

The Tropicana Joint Venture (TJV) is proposing to develop the Tropicana Gold Project (TGP) on the western edge of the Great Victoria Desert in Western Australia. The TJV is an agreement between AngloGold Ashanti Australia Ltd (AGAA; 70% stake and Manager) and Independence Group NL (IG; 30% stake).

One of the key environmental challenges identified for the project is the issue of ‘improved access to the region’. Currently, the region surrounding the project area is relatively inaccessible to the general public due to a lack of quality roads and is relatively pristine in comparison to the traditional gold-bearing areas in Western Australia (WA). As the development of the project will necessitate the construction of a higher quality road than currently services the area, it is anticipated that this could lead to an increase in the number of visitors, be they mining related or tourists, to the region.

GHD has been engaged by the TJV to complete a higher level and broader assessment of the landscape across the TGP area and anticipated impacts to provide input to the development of broader environmental management strategies for the project. The aim of the study is to provide an overview of the attributes of the Great Victoria Desert (GVD) biogeographic region (IBRA), to outline what makes the landscape of the TGP particularly interesting and to identify what broad scale environmental impacts may be anticipated as a result of the development of the TGP.

The study demonstrated that the TGP is located in a region of Western Australia that can be considered to be different to the traditional gold mining areas of WA. The study has found that the TGP area comprises a complex and arid ecosystem that has developed over time and may be considered to be delicately balanced. Due to the delicate balance of the arid environment, the potential indirect impact of the project may be felt at a much wider landscape scale than the more discrete direct impacts associated with a typical mine. At the broader scale, the main impacts that have been identified are as follows:

- Improved access to the region.
- Fire.
- Ferals.
- Weeds.
- Clearing.
- Fragmenting habitats and severing corridors.
- Waste.

The impact management strategies for the TGP should be developed:

- At the broader landscape level (i.e. beyond the immediate project boundaries).
- To engage a wide variety of stakeholders from government, industry and community groups.
• To recognise the evolutionary and existing ecological processes with respect to the landscape so that key large scale features of the landscape including long term ecological processes and interactions are preserved.

• To maintain connectivity between ecosystems and population.

• With an emphasis on maintaining ecological integrity rather than restoration. (The strategies should be preventative to limit the disturbance footprint of the project rather than repair damage caused).

• To be adaptive with respect to data gaps and research priorities and allow for development/evolution as result of monitoring and feedback.
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1. Introduction

AngloGold Ashanti Australia (AGAA), in joint venture with Independence Gold NL (the Tropicana Joint Venture – TJV), is proposing to develop the Tropicana Gold Project (TGP) on the western edge of the Great Victoria Desert in Western Australia. The TJV is currently preparing the pre-feasibility study and Public Environmental Review (PER) documentation for the project, which is the most significant gold resource project under development in the past ten years in Western Australia. The environmental approvals process for the project has also been initiated at both a state and a federal level.

One of the key environmental challenges for the project that has been identified is the issue of ‘improved access to the region’. Currently, the region surrounding the project area is relatively inaccessible to the general public due to a lack of quality roads and is relatively pristine in comparison to the traditional gold-bearing areas in Western Australia (WA). The development of the project will necessitate the construction of a higher quality road than currently services the area. It is possible that this could lead to an increase in the number of visitors to the region, which in turn could lead to negative environmental outcomes including (but not limited to):

- Increased pressure on Nature Reserves in the region, which have been instated to protect biodiversity and conservation values in WA.
- Introduction of invasive species by the general public who may not be educated in the threats to biodiversity posed by weeds and feral species in the wider region, and who will not have been educated and inducted into the hygiene and weed management practices of staff and contractors of the TJV.
- Increased incidence of anthropogenic fire in the region with associated detrimental effects on ecosystem values and threatened flora and fauna.

GHD has been engaged by the TJV to complete a higher level and broader assessment of the landscape across the Tropicana project area and anticipated impacts in order to provide input to the development of broader environmental management strategies for the project. The aim of this study is to provide an overview of the biophysical aspects of the Great Victoria Desert (GVD) biogeographic region (IBRA) and specifically to outline what makes the landscape of the Tropicana project area special and what impacts may be anticipated on the environment at the broader landscape scale.

2. Background Information

2.1 Environmental Assessment

Environmental management has developed since the advent and subsequent development of the environmental impact assessment process (EIA) in the 1970s.

The TGP is being developed in a highly sophisticated and robust regulatory system with respect to the assessment, approval and subsequent management of all environmental impacts. At the same time stakeholders and the public at large have become more knowledgeable and informed in their understanding of mining and other projects and will, as a
result, expect and anticipate the TJV to deliver a serious and honest commitment to the environmental management of the project and associated impacts.

The TJV has a strong commitment to the responsible management of the environmental impacts associated with the development of the Tropicana project and other potential resources within the tenement package. This is demonstrated by the following:

- ISO 14000 certification of the Exploration Group
- Policies, procedures and IMS in place for good practice management
- Commitment to avoiding impacts where possible
- Commitment to continually improve management practices following availability of more detailed information
- Commitment to adopt best practice environmental management
- Commitment to comply with all relevant legislation, policies, etc

2.2 Tropicana Gold Project

The TGP is located approximately 330km to the east northeast of Kalgoorlie Boulder, on the western edge of the Great Victoria Desert in Western Australia. It is anticipated that the TGP will be an open cut gold mine with a life of approximately 15 years (AGAA, 2008). The extent of the project area is shown in Figure 1, Appendix A and full details are provided in the referral document attached in Appendix B. It is anticipated that the project will have a direct impact on and disturb up to 3,940 hectares.

In summary, the TGP will comprise the following major features:

- Anticipated mine life of 15 years.
- A series of open pits producing up to 7Mt/pa of ore and total mining rate of 75Mtpa
- A standard “Carbon in Leach” processing plant and associated tailings storage facility
- Waste Material Landform with batter slopes of 1:4 or 15 deg covering an area of up to 1200 hectares.
- Administration and workshop facilities.
- Accommodation camp for 700 persons.
- Airstrip – sealed with supporting infrastructure including fuel storage tanks.
- Access roads– approximately 300km of made all weather roads.
- Water supply area (borefield) with up to 40 production water bores.
- Power plant with power generation capacity of up to 40MW fuelled by diesel, natural gas solar thermal or liquid natural gas or a combination of these energy sources.

2.3 Study Area

The study area comprises a broad area of the western portion of the GVD that incorporates the footprint of the TGP and the surrounding broader area encompassing the TJV tenement package, proposed infrastructure corridors and potential borefield sites. The total area of the study area is 61,924 km$^2$.

The extent of the study area is shown in Figure 1, Appendix A and is broadly defined by the following geographic features and boundaries:
2.4 Previous Studies

Examination of available literature and information on the project and adjacent areas during the desktop study phase indicated that few studies have been completed in the area. A limited number of broad biological and geological studies have been conducted in the region such as Beard’s vegetation survey (1974) and the WA Geological Survey (1970’s); however, few detailed studies were available. As a consequence, the current understanding of the region in terms of geology, landscape, flora and fauna associations is limited. In the absence of available information, the TJV is in the process of conducting numerous detailed flora, fauna and heritage studies throughout the TGP area and its surrounds to provide essential baseline information to enable informed decisions to be made with regards to minimising impacts of the proposed mine.

2.5 Summary of Methods

The landscape assessment study included both a desktop study and field assessment. The purpose of the desktop assessment was to collate baseline data on the site history and natural attributes of the study area and to identify key factors responsible for shaping the existing environment and maintaining landscape function. The desktop study was limited to a review and analysis of readily available literature and published mapping information (refer Section 7). Given the limited data available on the study area, studies undertaken within the broader GVD were also reviewed and key findings noted within the report. Whilst this assessment was undertaken at a high level over broad spatial scales, it is acknowledged that the GVD is not uniform and that the EIA detailed studies may reveal differences between the study area and the broader GVD.

Formal consultation with external parties was not undertaken as part of this assessment nor included in the scope of works developed with the TJV.

The field assessment was undertaken by a qualified Environmental Engineer and Environmental Scientist from GHD’s Kalgoorlie office during the period 9 – 11 July 2008. The primary purpose of the field assessment was to verify the findings of the desktop assessment and observe and document key landscape features and influences within the study area.

Given the considerable extent of the study area (refer Figure 1, Appendix A) and limited time available for the site visit, field observations were limited to selected sites accessible by 4WD vehicle. Potential access/communication corridors were also traversed by vehicle whilst travelling to and from the TGP site, thereby providing an opportunity to identify possible impacts associated with upgrading potential infrastructure corridors and to compare landscape features within the study area to adjacent areas.

A review of potential impacts of the TGP on the broader landscape was undertaken following the field assessment and the results are documented within this report.
2.6 Limitations

This landscape assessment has been completed with the following limitations:

- No fine scale floristic and fauna data was available for much of the study area at the time of the assessment.
- No evaluation of project related impacts has been completed for specific species.
- No assessment has been made of the direct impacts of mining at the local scale.
- No assessment has been made of issues and impacts of cultural heritage significance.

2.7 Structure of the Report

The report is structured as follows:

Section 1: Introduction to the report.

Section 2: Provides background information to this report, including an overview of TJV’s environmental management commitments, a description of the study area, previous studies conducted within the region, the methodology employed in the study, study limitations and an outline of the structure of the report.

Section 3: Includes the following:

- A summary of available baseline information on the natural attributes and landuse history of the study area. As stated previously, studies undertaken within the broader GVD were also reviewed and inferences made in relation to the study area where appropriate.
- A review of key factors responsible for shaping and maintaining natural systems within the landscape. Key threats to landscape health such as fire, climate, weeds and feral animals are also discussed in relation to key plant and animal communities.

Information provided in Section 3 is based on the premise that it is necessary to first understand the general characteristics and influences on the landscape prior to appreciating its special features or identifying potential broad-scale impacts associated with the TGP.

Section 4: Highlights the interesting attributes of the GVD bioregion.

Section 5: Identifies potential broad impacts from the TGP and provides suggestions as to how they may be managed to minimise or mitigate the impact on the broader landscape.

Section 6: Summarises the key observations, findings and recommendations from the study.

3. The GVD Bioregion

The study area lies predominately within the GVD bioregion as delineated under the Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) system. IBRA is a national planning framework in which 85 biogeographic regions have been established to assist in setting reservation targets across the entire Australian landscape. Each bioregion is a geographically distinct area of land with common characteristics such as geology, landform patterns, vegetation, fauna and climate.
The GVD bioregion covers an area of some 418,800 sq. kms (ANRA, 2008), extending from the Eastern Goldfields in Western Australia across the southern parts of Central Australia to the Stuart and Gawler Ranges in South Australia.

The GVD bioregion has been further subdivided into six sub-regions under the IBRA framework. The study area is situated in the western portion of the GVD, predominately straddling the Great Victoria Desert Shield subregion (GVD1) and the Great Victoria Desert Central subregion (GVD 2). A small area of the southern portion of the study area extends into the Eastern Goldfields subregion of the Coolgardie bioregion (COO3) and the Nullarbor Central band subregion (NUL2) of the Nullarbor bioregion (refer Figure 2, Appendix A).

Given that limited data was available for the study area at the time of this assessment, many landscape attributes and associated influences have been described for the broader GVD region. The relevance of regional information to the study area is provided throughout the document where appropriate.

### 3.1 Physical Description

#### 3.1.1 Climate

The climate of the GVD is classified as hot, persistently dry desert, according to a modified Köppen climate classification system (BoM 2008). Average annual rainfall within the GVD ranges from 150 mm to over 250 mm (Laut, 1977; Newby, 1984). Northern sectors are slightly more dominated by summer rainfall patterns; however, rainfall across the region is still largely unpredictable and highly variable from year to year.

Mean maximum summer temperatures range from 32 – 35 °C (World Wildlife, 2008). Diurnal ranges are also considerable with winter temperatures commonly falling overnight to below 0 °C.

No specific climatic data are available for the TGP site; however, the operational area is situated roughly between two official Bureau of Meteorology weather recording stations located at Laverton (200 kms to the north west) and Rawlinna (200 kms to the south east). Laverton has records spanning from 1899 to 2008 and Rawlinna from 1915 to 2002 (Table 1).

Mean maximum temperatures recorded at Laverton range from 35.8 °C in January to 17.8 °C in July. Mean minimum temperatures range from 20.5 °C in January to 5.2 °C in July. Laverton’s mean annual rainfall is 232.2 mm, with monthly averages ranging from 8.1 mm in September to 30.4 mm in March (BoM, 2008).

Mean maximum temperatures recorded at Rawlinna range from 32.9 °C in January to 18.0 °C in July. Mean minimum temperatures range from 15.6 °C in January to 4.6 °C in July. Rawlinna’s mean annual rainfall is 198.6 mm, with monthly averages ranging from 12.8 mm in October to 21.0 mm in March (BoM, 2008).

*Table 1: Climate Data for Laverton and Rawlinna (Source: BoM, 2008)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic Element</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Ann</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laverton</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean maximum temperature (°C)</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean minimum temperature (°C)</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Monthly rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>232.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistic Element | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Ann
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Laverton
Mean number of days of rain ≥ 1 mm | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 28.4

Rawlinna
Mean maximum temperature (ºC) | 32.9 | 31.9 | 29.7 | 25.6 | 21.7 | 18.5 | 18.0 | 19.9 | 23.4 | 26.4 | 29.5 | 31.9 | 25.8
Mean minimum temperature (ºC) | 15.6 | 15.5 | 14.5 | 11.5 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 10.5
Mean Monthly rainfall (mm) | 14.2 | 19.3 | 21.0 | 16.2 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 14.2 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 14.6 | 18.5 | 198.6
Mean number of days of rain ≥ 1 mm | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 31.3

Mean annual rainfall for the TGP site, based on interpolations of actual data from nearby stations is 173 mm (URS, 2007). The lower figure is likely a reflection of the project area’s more inland location compared to either Laverton or Rawlinna.

Results from climate change models provided by Climate Change in Australia indicate that temperatures in the GVD (based on the mid-point spread of model results under conditions of medium emissions) may possibly increase by 1-1.5 ºC by 2030 with a corresponding decrease in rainfall of -2 to -5 % (Climate Change in Australia, 2008).

3.1.2 Regional Context – Geology and Landforms

The geological evolution of Western Australia as a whole has been influenced by periods of intense and sustained tectonic activity separated by longer periods of little tectonic activity since approximately 3 Ga. The result of this activity is a collage of accreted crustal continental fragments.

The Yilgarn Craton did not become a stable part of the crust until approximately 2.5 Ga following a period of intense tectonic and magmatic activity during which the craton was formed and which gave rise to the granitic igneous, volcanic and gneiss metamorphic rocks, major faults and intrusive (basic) dykes that dominate both the Yilgarn and Pilbara cratons (GSWA).

Subsequent periods of tectonic activity, for example the Capricorn, Pinjarra and Paterson Orogens between 2.0 Ga and 600 Ma resulted in the fusing of the Yilgarn and Pilbara Cratons.

As a consequence of the plate tectonic movements and crustal development, at the end of the Paterson Orogen and the beginning of the Palaeozoic period Western Australia formed part of the Gondwana supercontinent. During this time, periods of uplift and downward movement gave rise to the development of the series of north south major basins (ie. Canning, Officer and Eucla Basins). Similar plate movements gave rise to marine incursions and sedimentary depositional regimes dominating across the project area, especially during the Devonian and Permian.

After the breakup of Gondwana in the late Jurassic and early Cretaceous, which occurred as a series of episodes (Veevers in GSWA, 1984), further periods of marine incursion occurred and which can be identified across the Canning, Officer and Eucla Basins in the Early Cretaceous. Subsequent periods of marine incursion have been identified in the Eucla Basin during the Miocene and Eocene with the maximum extent of these incursions coinciding with
the present day 300m and/or 250m contours respectively (Churchill 1973 and Lloyd 1968, both in GSWA).

Throughout its geological history, the region has been and continues to be characterized by high levels of weathering the result of which is a regolith (i.e. weathered material) with a typical thickness in excess of 100m. The depth of weathering is typical of geomorphological processes that operate in humid and moist climates and hence reflects the influence of plate tectonics and large scale geological processes on the evolution and development of the current surface geology (and landscape).

The weathering of the underlying rock (refer Section 3.1.3) has given rise to the development of three regolith related landform or physiographic units (Anand & Pain, 2002) that are characteristic of the the East Yilgarn and Great Victoria Desert region of Western Australia. These are:

- **Sediment dominated areas**: dominated by fluvial, aeolian and/or lacustrine deposits up to several metres in thickness overlying weathered duricrust or bedrock forming areas of sand plains and sand ridges.
- **Ferruginous and siliceous duricrusts**: typically formed whilst major drainage systems were operational but no later than the Late Cretaceous or Early Miocene. These deposits comprise the most common superficial units across Western Australia – laterite and pisolithic gravels (GSWA 1977) and form over older sedimentary and crystalline rocks.
- **Weathered bedrock and colluvial materials**: areas of exposed or eroded bedrock that have not been capped by duricrust and have active areas of colluvial deposition (i.e. slope erosion).

### 3.1.3 Study area context – Geology and Landforms

The TGP is, by way of its geographical location, dominated by two very different groups of rock whose origins have been described in Section 3.1.2. To the west, the geology is dominated by Archaean and Proterozoic granitic, volcanic and metamorphic rocks which are in excess of 2,500 Ma and form the Yilgarn Craton. To the east the geology is dominated by younger, sedimentary deposits which unconformably overlie the Archaean and Proterozoic rocks. These younger deposits, which comprise alluvial, lacustrine and aeolian deposits, were laid down in a north south trough which was active from the beginning of the Palaeozoic and weathering of the rocks of the Yilgarn Craton and periods of marine incursion and varies in thickness between 600m and 4,300m (Beard 1968).

Whilst the TGP area may be considered to be relatively featureless it comprises undulating sand plains, dunes with localised breakaways of indurated and siliceous sedimentary rocks and salt lakes (Beard, 1968). The three main physiographic units that can be observed in the TGP area are:

- **Sediment dominated areas**
  
  This is the dominant landform and soil unit in the project area and reflects the close relationship with the underlying geology that comprises the older granitic rocks of the Yilgarn Craton and younger sedimentary rocks laid down in the Officer, Eucla and Canning Basins (Beard, 1968) from which the sediments have been generated (refer Section 3.1.2). These deposits are typically observed in the study and TGP areas.
The aeolian sands that are characteristic of the region form large areas of flat featureless plains and longitudinal dunes (GSWA, 1971), which have an average height of 10m (typically between 2m and 15m high) and a length that ranges between 1.5km to 5km. The dunes vary in width between 100m to 200m and the interdune areas can vary between 250m and 800m in width. The dunes are generally orientated east west, but localized deviations from this trend indicate that the dunes were formed by westerly winds (King in GSWA, 1971). The origin of the sand that forms the plains and dunes has been attributed to insitu weathering of the underlying granites of the Yilgarn Craton and sedimentary rocks of the Paterson Formation (Beard, 1968).

The dunes and sand plains are considered to be relatively stable or mature. This may be determined with respect to the symmetrical shape of the dunes observed across the project area and the presence of the generally well developed vegetation cover. Photographic studies undertaken in the 1970s by the GSWA indicate that there was little or no movement or modification of the dunes in a nine year period between 1961 and 1970 indicating a mature landscape (GSWA, 1971). However, the studies also indicated that this was found to be the case for both well and sparsely vegetated areas of dune and plain.

The sediment dominated areas also include lacustrine and fluvial silts, clays and halite deposits and marine silts and clays that correspond to palaeo and existing drainage regimes that have dominated the area in more recent times, for example the Lake Rason system. It should be noted that Lake Rason is a wetland of significance.

- **Ferruginous and siliceous duricrusts**
- **Weathered bedrock and colluvial materials**

Localised areas of rock exposure can be seen across the project area, typically along ridge lines and low breakaway features. These areas of exposure are in themselves the result of physical and chemical weathering of the weaker kaolinitic zones (Pearson, 1994).

### 3.1.4 Soils

Limited information is available on the soils that may be encountered across the region and more specifically in the study and TGP areas. Generally the soils may be described as silty, sandy, loamy soils, generally red to light red brown in colour and of variable thickness.

Limited information is available on the soils that may be encountered in the project area. Recent research by Pearson (1994) of the Queen Victoria Spring Nature Reserve (QVSNR) indicates that the extensive sand plains and dunes have been mapped as red sands with interdune areas of red earthy sands (Northcote et al 1968). Beard (1974) similarly described these soils as friable loams and noted that they occurred between the sands dunes and on breakaways. He also noted that areas of desert pavement or hamada may be observed that are strewn with ironstone fine to medium gravels due to wind erosion.

More recently the CSIRO through the ASRIS project has provided further information that allows the soil type to be related to existing geographical and palaeodrainage features, for example Lake Rason. The soils vary in fines (clay and silt content) with depth and proximity to these drainage features which has a close bearing on the related vegetation (refer Section 6.3). For example to the south of Lake Rason, in the area of and to the south of the proposed
mine area, the landscape is dominated by three vegetation regions as described by Beard (section 3.2.1).

3.1.5 Hydrology
The Lake Rason drainage basin is the dominant regional drainage feature. The regional drainage is illustrated in Figure 1. Lake Rason is classified as a wetland with regional significance (Australian Government 2008) and is significant for the maintenance of regional ecological processes. The main lake system occupies an area of some 140 km$^2$, fills intermittently after rainfall and is saline (Australian Government 2008). It is unlikely that Lake Rason ever fills to capacity and overtops. Water ponded in the lake is probably lost to evaporation and seepage.

A Lake Rason drainage line lies some 6 km northeast of the downstream edge of the proposed location of mine infrastructure and the main lake lies some 50 km to the north. Ponding in Lake Rason and its associated drainage system does not appear to affect drainage or flooding at the mine site. While the available detailed topographic data does not extend as far as this drainage line, aerial imagery does not indicate a connection between the overland flow path near the mine and the lake drainage.

Local hydrology has been described in a study undertaken in 2007 by URS Pty Ltd (URS 2007). This study focused on defining the catchments that contribute flow to the area of the mine site and on quantifying flooding risk for the pit. The hydrologic characterisation given by URS (2007) is summarised here and extended as required to assess impacts of mine infrastructure on surface water movement and the environment and on pit flood risk.

Infiltration measurements through the mine area have also been provided by the TJV. These are summarised here and used to help infer runoff potential for contributing catchments.

The proposed operational area is located in an area with little local hydrologic and catchment data and relatively coarse topographic data. Accordingly, the analysis is limited to the extent that interpretation can be drawn from the available data.

3.2 Biological Description
The following section provides an overview of readily available information on the major plant and vertebrate communities within the study area as well as key factors influencing their distribution within the landscape. Identifying key influences and drivers within natural systems is central to developing effective strategies for minimising impacts from the TGP at broad spatial scales.

3.2.1 Vegetation and Flora
The GVD is remarkably well vegetated and contains a rich mosaic of vegetation communities in near pristine condition. Vegetation patterns observed are largely a reflection of the geological surface, with distinct changes occurring in response to soil structure and landform. Regular fires are also likely to have had a marked influence on vegetation structure.

Origins
A census of Australian vascular plants completed by Hatinuk in 1990, suggests that floristic diversity recorded within the broader GVD is generally lower than surrounding bioregions, particularly the more mesic areas to the south west (Hatinuk, 1990). It should also be noted,
however, that few fine scale floristic studies have been undertaken within the GVD and recorded diversity may reflect, in part, differences in survey intensity between regions.

Moore (2005) suggests that lower reported plant diversity in inland arid regions is not surprising given that speciation takes time and is favoured by adversity rather than catastrophe. Smith-White (1982) proposes that the cycles of extreme aridity experienced in inland Australia during the Pleistocene era were so severe that they were responsible for repeated extinctions rather than adaptation and speciation. Surrounding regions such as the south west, however, were buffered against such climatic extremes and plants were able to migrate back and forth as conditions fluctuated with each cycle. This view is supported by Pearson (1994) in his analysis of the flora in the QVSNR. Pearson (1994) concluded that the south-western flora had a pronounced influence on the composition of the flora of the Reserve and was responsible for the comparatively high vascular plant species richness recorded (i.e. 198.1 species/1000 km²). The northern extent of this zone of mixed regional floras has not been mapped and may extend into the south-west portion of the GVD. Fine scale floristic studies are likely to contribute significantly to the current understanding of relative plant diversity and the distribution of plant communities within the study area.

Whilst some minor speciation (at specific and intraspecific levels) occurred during the Pleistocene, it is likely that the evolutionary development of the arid flora occurred much earlier and from several biogeographical sources (Smith-White, 1982). Once thought to have originated from outside the continent, it is now accepted that the present day inland flora is derived from plant groups present in more temperate regions of Australia as well as from plants growing under a variety of conditions in other countries. A more detailed analysis of the origin of arid flora is provided in Barker and Greenslade (1982).

**Phytogeography**

Whilst little fine scale floristic data is available for the GVD region in Western Australia, broader patterns of vegetation assemblages were mapped by Beard as part of the Western Australian mapping project conducted from 1964-1981. Beard used a combination of both structure and floristics to delineate and describe vegetation associations within botanical districts.

The study area lies predominately within the Helms Botanical District of the GVD. Beard (1980) broadly describes the vegetation within the district as a tree steppe of *Eucalyptus gongylocarpa* and *E. youngiana* over *Triodia basedowii*, with mulga low woodland occurring on hardpan soils between aeolian sand dunes.

Vegetation communities present within the study area as described and noted by Beard (1974;1980) are listed in Table 2. The regional extent in Western Australia of each vegetation type represented and the proportion of each type remaining as a percentage of the pre-European extent (as drawn from Shepherd, pers.comm., 2005) is also provided. A map of the study area showing Beard’s vegetation associations is included in Figure 3, Appendix A.
Table 2: Vegetation Associations Present within the Study Area (as described by Beard, 1974)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBRA Region</th>
<th>Vegetation Association</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Extent (ha) in IBRA Region</th>
<th>Current % Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great Victoria Desert</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Low woodland; mulga (<em>Acacia aneura</em>)</td>
<td>1,954,624.351</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Low woodland; mulga between sand ridges</td>
<td>2,866,299.158</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Low woodland; mulga mixed with <em>Allocasuarina cristata</em> &amp; <em>Eucalyptus</em> sp.</td>
<td>5,653.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Low woodland; <em>Allocasuarina cristata</em></td>
<td>226,362</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, open low tree and mallee steppe; marble gum and mallee (<em>Eucalyptus youngiana</em>) over hard <em>Spinifex</em> <em>Triodia basedowii</em> between sandhills</td>
<td>1,781,533.318</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, open low tree &amp; mallee steppe; marble gum &amp; mallee (<em>Eucalyptus youngiana</em>) over hard <em>spinifex</em> on sandplain</td>
<td>63,151,694.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, open low tree steppe; <em>Allocasuarina cristata</em> and hard <em>spinifex</em> between sand ridges</td>
<td>96,424.597</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, shrub steppe; <em>Eucalyptus youngiana</em> over hard <em>spinifex</em></td>
<td>374114.54</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, shrub steppe; red mallee over <em>spinifex</em>, <em>Triodia scariosa</em></td>
<td>319137.89</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Bare areas; salt lakes</td>
<td>225179.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>239</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, open medium tree &amp; mallee steppe; marble gum (<em>Eucalyptus gonglocarpa</em> &amp; mallee (<em>E. youngiana</em>) over hard <em>spinifex</em>, <em>Triodia basedowii</em> between sandhills</td>
<td>1036406.19</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
<td>Succulent steppe; saltbush &amp; bluebush</td>
<td>38218.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>251</td>
<td>Low woodland; mulga &amp; <em>Allocasuarina cristata</em></td>
<td>14899.98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>389</td>
<td>Succulent steppe with open low woodland; mulga over saltbush</td>
<td>147692.09</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>442</td>
<td>Low open woodland; mulga &amp; <em>Allocasuarina cristata</em></td>
<td>3.412</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>444</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, open low tree steppe; mulga over <em>Triodia scariosa</em></td>
<td>11241.02</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>540</td>
<td>Succulent steppe with open low woodland; sheoak over saltbush</td>
<td>125.47</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>676</td>
<td>Succulent steppe; <em>samphire</em></td>
<td>206,522.575</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>Hummock grasslands, open medium</td>
<td>2,233,681.348</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Vegetation Patterns

An assessment of the broader influence of edaphic factors on vegetation associations present within the study area was undertaken by GHD by comparing vegetation, soil and geomorphological mapping for the area.

A comparison of the available information for the project area, obtained from sources including the GSWA maps, ASRIS maps and Beard vegetation maps, indicates that there is a broad relationship between the underlying geology, resultant soils and vegetation. This is best illustrated with reference to two areas within the project area as a whole.

Firstly Lake Rason, which is located to the north of the actual mine area, is typified by an area of saline lacustrine sediments that relate to the current and past (ie. palaeodrainage) drainage history of the area. The soils are fine grained and generally comprise in excess of 45% silts and clays (ASRIS 2008). Vegetation in this area typically comprises salt bush and blue bush.

Secondly to the south of Lake Rason, in the area of and to the south of the proposed mine area, the landscape is dominated by three vegetation associations as described by Beard. These can be differentiated as follows:

- **Areas of hummocky grassland with open low trees and mallee, some marble gums and spinifex.** The underlying soil profile comprises loamy, silty and clayey soils with between 20% and 30% fines which are in turn underlain by Quaternary colluvial and alluvial deposits (ASRIS 2008 and GSWA 1977).

- **Areas of hummocky grassland with open low trees, some marble gums over spinifex.** The underlying soil profile comprises sandy loamy soils with less than 10% fines...
overlying loamy, silty and clayey soils with between 20% and 30% fines to the base of the soil profile. These are in turn underlain by Quaternary aeolian sands with pockets of colluvial and alluvial deposits (ASRIS 2008 and GSWA 1977).

- Areas of low woodland and mulga. The underlying soil profile comprises sandy loamy soils with less than 10% fines overlying loamy, silty and clayey soils with between 20% and 30% fines to the base of the soil profile. These are in turn underlain by Quaternary aeolian sands with pockets of colluvial and alluvial deposits (ASRIS 2008 and GSWA 1977). This area is dominated by areas of sand plains and sand dunes.

It was observed during the site visit that the broad vegetation associations described above are repeated across the project area. These observations support the close relationships that may be determined from ASRIS, GSWA and Beard two of which are described above. However whilst broad influences on vegetation associations are apparent at a regional scale, the patchwork of vegetation communities observed in the field over relatively short distances suggest that more localised factors contribute to patterns of distribution. These are described in more detail in the following sections.

**Influences on Localised Vegetation Patterns**

Finer scale studies and reviews of landscapes within the GVD (Pearson, 1994; Greenslade et al., 1986; Beard, 1980) provide some insight into factors potentially affecting the distribution and composition of vegetation communities within the project area. It is generally accepted that edaphic factors such as landforms, soil structure and chemistry as well as depth to calcrete or hardpan are the strongest predictors of gross variations observed in vegetation patterns in the GVD along with external factors such as fire and climate.

It should be noted that subtle changes in edaphic factors can have pronounced effects on patterns of distribution and often it is the combination of a number of factors that determines the composition of vegetation present.

Although a thorough investigation of the above was beyond the scope of this study, fine scale floristic studies have recently been undertaken within the project area and it is likely that localised factors affecting patterns of distribution will be far more apparent following a detailed analysis of the data. Some key observations noted during the field visit conducted in early July 2008 which serve to illustrate the influence of edaphic factors on vegetation patterns are provided below.

**Landforms**

Consistent patterns of vegetation distribution were evident in the dune-interdune sequence within the project area. The less sandy soils of the dune swales were commonly vegetated with mallees and mulga (*Acacia aneura*) over spinifex. Marble gums (*Eucalyptus gonglyocarpa*) and mixed shrubs occupied the deeper sandy soils of the dune slopes, with occasional pockets of *Callitris* sp. present. Vegetation cover on the crest of the dunes was typically sparse and consisted of low shrubs. Spinifex was less abundant on the dunes. Greenslade et al. (1982) reported a similar pattern of distribution in GVD dune systems in the Unnamed Conservation Reserve (UCR) in South Australia whereby a *Eucalyptus gonglyocarpa* open woodland dune community was commonly interspersed with an *Acacia aneura* association in the swales.

Whilst dune-interdune vegetation associations in the GVD are reasonably well documented, studies investigating causal relationships are relatively scarce. Pearson (1994) found that the soils on the crests of sand dunes in the Queen Victoria Springs Nature Reserve (QVSNR)
were particularly nutrient poor and suggested that the distinctive flora present was able to better cope with severe conditions or able to thrive because of the absence of a well-developed cover of spinifex.

Soils
The vegetation of the sand plains was far less uniform than that of the dunes; however, changes in response to soil conditions were clearly evident. The open woodland of *Eucalyptus gonglyocarpa* over *Triodia basedowi* was typically observed on the deeper sandy soils of the plains, whilst mulga groves tended to dominate the finer textured soils (and areas of weathered laterite, duricrust and hamada observed at site). The vegetation association on yellow sand plains was particularly distinctive with a relatively rich scrub heath community dominant and a noticeable absence of taller shrubs and trees. Pearson (1994) noted that similar substrates in the QVSVR were not only the sandiest, but also the most nutrient poor, with low concentrations of phosphate, nitrogen, potassium and exchangeable cations recorded. Whilst allowing rapid infiltration, the relatively coarse sands also exhibit poor water holding capacity. Such harsh conditions are likely to have favoured the sclerophyll scrub species over the taller strata commonly observed in other sand plain communities.

Depth to Hardpan
The presence of a hardpan was also observed by GHD to influence vegetation present in the study area. The most noticeable indicator being the regular appearance of *Casuarina* sp. in areas characterised by shallow soils over a calcareous hardpan. Casuarinas were also observed along valley lines within the project area, possibly reflecting the presence of hardpan formed from the deposition of calcium carbonate along palaeodrainage channels in earlier times.

The observations made at site support Williams (in Greenslade *et al.*, 1982) who noted that the distribution and depth of calcrete and carbonate hardpans in the UCR was just as influential in determining patterns of vegetation distribution as the dune-interdune sequence. Other landform features such as salt lakes and breakaways have distinct vegetation assemblages and edaphic factors influencing vegetation are discussed in Beard’s explanatory notes of the Great Victoria Desert (1974).

Fire
Fire is a natural feature of the Western Australian landscape. It was apparent from a limited review of MODIS fire data for the 2007 calendar year (Geosciences Australia, 2008) that numerous fires occurred within the vicinity of the project area over the 12-month period. Such fires are difficult to control due to access difficulties and the large extent burnt. Unfortunately, MODIS data does not provide information on fire intensity or extent. Therefore, it is necessary to refer to detailed analyses of satellite imagery conducted by others in the region. Pianka (2000) undertook a detailed study of fire history in the region (immediately north of the TGP area) by analysing satellite imagery (Landsat) spanning the years 1972-1991. He concluded that between 2 and 5% of the landscape was burnt each year and that the average size of each fire was approximately 28 km$^2$. Pianka noted, however, that this figure was skewed by a few large fires and concluded that most fires in the region are of intermediate size. The average fire return interval was estimated to be at least 20 years. This relatively long fire return interval is most probably due to low precipitation rates within the region.

Observations of fire scars within the project area suggest that local fire frequencies and extents may be higher than that reported by Pianka; however, detailed analysis of satellite
imagery data would be required to assess this further. It is also worth noting that most fires are started by lightning and reasons for a possible higher incidence of fires within the project area are not immediately apparent. A review of lighting flashes in Western Australia suggests that between one and two lightning strikes per square kilometre hit the ground annually within the GVD. The proportion of fires in the landscape started by humans is unknown.

The impact of fires on vegetation communities present in the project area is dependent on a number of factors including fire intensity (severity), extent (area), seasonality (time of year), species composition, and importantly, the time since the last fire (ie. fire interval). Fire regimes which are beyond the tolerance levels of a particular species may result in the decline and eventual loss of fire sensitive species from the area. For example, an obligate seeder must reach sexual maturity and build up sufficient seed stores in the underlying substrate to have a reasonable chance of recovery if killed by fire. Fires can also exacerbate additional threatening processes such as soil erosion and weed invasion. Very intense fires which kill mature vegetation can contribute to long-term ecological change by removing adults and leaving new seedlings vulnerable to climate stressors such as drought (Western Australian Government, 2008). Exclusion of fire can also impact on biodiversity by limiting reproduction mechanisms of some plants that use ash, smoke or intense heat to germinate seed.

Knowledge of fire ecology and the vulnerability of the community as a whole is of obvious management significance. A key finding from the State of the Environment Report (2007) was that appropriate regimes for biodiversity conservation are not well understood, indicating the need for further investigations and improved management.

Climate

Apart from northern sectors being slightly more dominated by summer rainfall patterns, climate within the study area and GVD is relatively uniform. The influence of climate on vegetation patterns in the GVD is perhaps more appreciable by examining the indirect impact associated with higher fire frequencies following periods of sustained rainfall. Fuel loads increase substantially following significant rainfall events, particularly with the rapid emergence and subsequent drying out of the herbaceous layer. Vegetation types unlikely to support fires during drier times are particularly vulnerable during these subsequent periods. An analysis of over 5000 fires in the southern half of the Northern Territory between 1950 and 1984 revealed that cumulative millimetres of precipitation was a useful temporal productivity against which to calibrate fires and vulnerability of fire (Griffin et al, 1988). During periods of low rainfall, fires were also smaller and less frequent.

Periods of sustained rainfall within the study area are likely to result in increased fuel loads and an elevated fire risk in successive dry periods, both in terms of fire frequency and extent. Appropriate strategies should be implemented in relation to changing risk levels.

The effects of climate change on the landscape are difficult to predict due to the uncertainty of future climatic conditions and the complex nature of ecological systems. However, changes in climatic factors such as temperature and rainfall may impact on the distribution, lifecycles, physiology and ultimately the survival of individual species. Climate change may also indirectly affect species and ecosystems by changing factors such as fire frequency and behaviour, surface and groundwater, the spread of diseases, etc (DEC, 2008).
Key Vegetation Units and Ecological Drivers

Plant communities are a fundamental element of the landscape. Understanding the ecophysiology of key vegetation units within the study area is important when developing strategies to maintain landscape functioning over broad spatial scales. The following provides an overview of key vegetation units within the study area.

Mulga

Mulga is an integral component of the Australian continent with associated communities occupying approximately 20 percent of the landscape. Such communities occur in areas receiving a mean annual rainfall of between 200 and 500 mm but are noticeably absent from semi-arid regions with a regular summer or winter drought (White, 1994).

Mulga is a long-lived species, which has quite variable morphology, both within and between populations and individuals. It flowers opportunistically when adequate soil moisture is available and takes between 5-15 years (or more) to set seed after fire (Williams, 2002). Germination and growth of mulga is also dependent on rainfall.

Mulga is highly adapted to survive in arid environments. Its deep root system can extract moisture at depth as surface layers dry out and nitrogen fixing bacteria contained within its root nodules can assist in overcoming the low nutrient status of surrounding soils. Phyllodes are designed to minimise water loss, yet maximise water harvesting. The near-vertical architecture of mulga branches and stems also promotes efficient channelling of rainfall to stem bases (Slayter, 1965).

Mulga also commonly occurs in groves whereby leaf fall (litter) is concentrated and water and nutrients from surface flows are trapped. The litter layer not only provides for effective recycling of nutrients but also a fertile bed for future seedling establishment.

Anderson and Hodgkinson (1997) found that the capture of surface flows can be important for mulga survival and recruitment, particularly when mulga roots are confined within a shallow soil zone. (Mulga roots were unable to penetrate and draw water from the fractured rock at depth and relied on shallow root systems to obtain water). Observations and discussions with the Tropicana Project team (Bastow pers. comm., 2008) suggest that surface flows within the project area are minimal and may only occur for brief periods following high intensity rainfall events. It is therefore considered unlikely that mulga populations in the project area are as reliant on surface water flows as those studied by Anderson and Hodgkinson (1997). Nonetheless, some evidence of nutrient capture from surface flows was evident during the field visit and disruption to any significant surface flows should be avoided.

Fire regimes can have a significant impact on mulga communities. Mulga is usually killed if its canopy is burnt. If a second fire occurs before it has had time to set seed or the post fire conditions are not suitable for regeneration, then it can potentially be eliminated from an area. Williams (2002) suggests that fire intervals of less than 10-15 years may cause the contraction or loss of mulga and reported that mulga systems in some parts of the central and western part of its range appear to have contracted in response to fire. Post fire grazing of emerging seedlings by domestic stock or other exotic species may adversely affect recruitment.

The occurrence of unplanned fires in mulga landscapes is strongly linked to periods of prolonged high rainfall and the high fuel levels that result. Williams (2002) suggests that the traditional patch burning of Spinifex around mulga by Aboriginal people probably helped protect mulga from the impacts from wildfires.
Mulga is a key component of the study area, represented in ten of the 24 vegetation associations present (refer Table 2). Clearly, the long-term survival of mulga within the study area is dependent on maintaining hydrological and nutrient balances as well as appropriate fire regimes.

Mallee

Mallee is a collective term for eucalypt species with multiple stems arising from a common lignotuber. Mallee dominated communities are widespread in the GVD and may occur in association with marble gum open woodlands or as belts of tall shrubland, often comprising a suite of different mallee species. Mallee is also dominant within the study area and is a key component in six of the 24 vegetation associations recorded for the area (refer Table 2).

Species in which lignotubers are persistent are most commonly found where the genus is close to its physiological limits and site qualities are particularly poor (White, 1994). The prevalence of mallees in the project area is likely to be a reflection of the nutrient poor soils, past fire regimes and climatic conditions of the GVD.

Vegetative growth of mallees occurs in late spring or summer, although this is the hottest time of the year. While they can flower at most times in response to rain, the major flowering occurs in summer. Often flowering of co-existing species is staggered so that hybridisation for compatible species is reduced (White, 1994). Competition for pollinators is also reduced by flowering at different times.

Unlike the mulga, mallees are remarkably tolerant to fire and resprout vigorously after a burn. Mallees also release a large amount of seed following fire and seeds remain in the soil until sufficient moisture is available to initiate germination. Seedlings can persist in an ‘advanced growth’ seedling state until gaps appear in the plant cover and they have room to develop (White, 1994).

Although mallee recruitment may be favoured by fire, it should be noted that adults can be killed under annual or biennial burning regimes – particularly in autumn (Myers et al., 2004)

Spinifex Grasslands

Spinifex grasslands, characterised by the dominance of perennial hummock grasses of the genus Triodia, cover around 43 percent of the Western Australian landscape and occur predominately within the semi-arid/arid interior. Triodia basedowii is the dominant species in the GVD and is reported to comprise up to 98 percent of recorded plant cover. Plants typically grow from the centre out, forming a distinctive ring as the centre dies. The root system of mature hummocks is extensive and deep. Many fauna species present in the GVD and study area are also dependent on spinifex communities (Pianka, 1996; Pearson, 1995).

Spinifex grasslands are highly flammable. Hummocks are comprised of dry grass filled with flammable resins and as Triodia clumps grow the gaps between hummocks reduces and the probability that a fire will spread increases. Winkworth (1967) suggested that all spinifex communities in the Northern Territory are in a state of cyclic development from fire to fire, with only 20 percent in a ‘mature’ climax state. He found that Spinifex roots survive fire and regrowth can be rapid following rain. Spinifex fills in the gaps both vegetatively and by setting seed and this rapid rate of vegetative recovery ensures a high level of fire activity. Fire return intervals have been suggested to be as low as 3-10 years (Kimber, 1983). Pianka (2000) suggests that fire return intervals in the GVD are probably much longer due to lower rates of precipitation and added that a spinifex grassland in the GVD can take as long as 20-25 years to reach maturity.
Fire regimes in much of the spinifex grasslands have changed with the cessation of aboriginal burning from a fine grain mosaic of burnt patches at different seral states to a coarse grain, simplified mosaic of infrequent large wildfires (Burrows, 2006). Burrows suggests that coupled with predation by introduced predators, changed fire regimes have probably contributed to the alarming decline in native fauna, particularly medium sized animals and some ground nesting birds.

Weeds

Large numbers of introduced plants are now established weeds in the Australian landscape. Many species are fast growing and can out-compete native plants for space, light, water and nutrients (CRC, 2008). Fauna habitats can also be impacted and degradation of natural systems can occur incrementally over large spatial scales. Weeds can also cause indirect impacts such as changed fire regimes.

A total of 53 weed species have the potential to occur within the GVD (Thorpe, 2008). Studies completed as part of the TGP baseline assessment have only recorded four weed species present within the TGP area. Low recorded weeds levels are considered to be a reflection of limited dispersal opportunities (e.g. human, vehicle movements, etc), limited disturbance (i.e. relatively intact bushland in good condition) and current weed management practices employed by mining companies.

3.2.2 Vertebrate Fauna

Avifauna

Most bird species in the GVD are of Eyrean origin (comparatively young fauna of Australia's arid inland) and are widespread across the region. Distribution is closely linked to habitat type, with the mallee belt of the GVD providing an active corridor for many mallee inhabiting species across southern Australia (Shepard, 1995). The western and eastern populations of such species show little divergence as a result.

Studies of avifauna in the GVD at Mamungari Conservation Park in South Australia indicate that mulga and mallee habitats generally support a higher diversity and abundance of bird species compared to marble gum woodlands (Greenslade et al., 1986). That said, diversity in marble gum communities increases at different times of the year (e.g. flowering) and large hollow limbs of mature individuals provide ideal nesting and roosting sites. The chenopod shrublands also support a different suite of species, adding to overall diversity across the region. Habitat preferences for a range of selected species within the GVD are provided in Shepard (1995).

Abundance and distribution of avian communities is also linked to availability of food and water. The most obvious example being the marked increase in nectivorous species observed across habitat types during peak flowering periods. Given that rainfall is limited and unpredictable in the GVD, species are either highly nomadic or have evolved strategies to survive in arid environments

A useful summary of the status of avifauna in the GVD is provided in the Terrestrial Assessment 2002 report (National Land and Water Resources Audit, 2002). Key findings are listed below:

- Species diversity recorded for the GVD during assessment surveys (178 species) was generally lower than most IBRA regions.
• The GVD has the highest level of Australian endemism (i.e. Australian endemic species/total resident species).

• A handful of limited range, threatened and introduced species are reported to occur in the region, but only in limited numbers.

• Potential exists for the decline of some ground feeding birds as a result of grazing, predators and/or changes in fire regimes.

A number of additional bird surveys have been undertaken in the GVD (Burbidge et al., 1976; Greenslade et al., 1982); however, the extent of the region surveyed is still somewhat limited and reported trends must be treated with caution. Baseline surveys of the project area commissioned by AGAA will add significantly to existing data and it is expected that ongoing monitoring will assist in determining localised trends.

Reptiles
The GVD supports an exceedingly high diversity of reptiles and comprises one of the most diverse lizard faunas on earth (Panika, 1996). More than 100 species of reptiles have been recorded in the GVD region and up to 53 lizard species have been found to coexist on a single sand ridge site, located not more than 70 kms north east of the study area (Pianka, 1996). It is reasonable to assume that similar sites (i.e. stable sandridges) within the study area would support comparable reptile diversity. By way of comparison, American deserts host a mere dozen species of lizards.

Extensive lizard radiations and speciation occurred all over the arid interior of Australia, largely in response to climatic changes in the late Pleistocene and the associated shifting and isolation of vegetation patches. Unsuitable habitats are considered to have limited dispersal and contributed to isolation rather than any obvious geographical barriers. Natural wildfires are also likely to have fostered local diversity. Pianka (2000) suggests that natural wildfires create a patchwork of habitats in various states of recovery and that each favours a different subset of species.

Whilst some lizard species are ubiquitous across the arid interior, many have developed a high degree of habitat specificity. Habitat requirements and influences on distribution of selected species are provided in Shepard (1995).

Mammals
Fifty-two mammal species have been recorded within the GVD which represents a moderate level of relative mammal species richness within Australia. The total number of mammal species recorded within IBRA regions ranges from 25 to 86 species. A summary of the current mammal status within the region (as reported in the National Land and Water Resources Audit Report, 2002) is provided in Table 3.
Table 3: Number of species in each status class in the GVD (Source: National Land and Water Resources Audit, 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Persists in &gt; 50% of former range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Declined by 50-90% of former range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Severe decline: extant within region but declined by &gt; 90% of former range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Extinct in region: the last individual has died</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As indicated in the above table, the GVD has lost a high proportion of its mammals since European settlement and similar decline is evident across many arid and semi-arid areas of Australia. Such a decline is also significant globally, given that the number of mammal extinctions in Australia in the last century is the highest of any continent in the world and has occurred at a rate greater than that experienced for at least 250 million years (Wilderness Society of WA, 2008). The greatest loss has occurred within mammals weighing between 35–5,500 grams (referred to as the Critical Weight Range [CWR]).

Most of the remaining native ground mammals in the region prefer sandy habitats, or occupy a range of habitats including sand. A brief outline of mammal species present in the region and their preferred habitats is provided in Shepard (1995). Changed fire regimes as well as foxes and cats are considered to be the greatest threats to mammal species at risk within both the region and the study area (i.e. Dasyurus cristicauda, Sminthopsis psammophila, and Notoryctes typhlops). By way of example, Churchill (2008) found that Sandhill Dunnarts (Sminthopsis psammophila) were dependent on specific growth stages of spinifex hummocks for day-time shelter. Frequent firing of the landscape may impact on their continued presence and survival in an area.

3.2.3 Feral Animals

Seven exotic mammal species occur within the region, namely: Mus domesticus (House Mouse); Canis lupus dingo (Dingo); Vulpes vulpes (Red Fox); Felis catus (Cat); Oryctolagus cuniculus (European Rabbit); Camelus dromedarius (Camel) and Ovis aries (Sheep). A brief discussion of selected feral animal species and potential impacts follows.

Rabbits are widely distributed throughout the GVD and have undoubtedly contributed to the extinction of native mammals in the region (White, 1994). They are particularly destructive in times of drought, preventing plants from regenerating, eating the roots and underground organs, climbing trees to eat the foliage and ring-barking trees by eating their bark. They also impact native fauna by destroying habitat and competing for scarce resources at refuge sites in times of drought. Rabbits have also been known to cause severe damage to vegetation following fire (Shepard, 1995) and may have contributed to the lack of mulga regeneration observed in burnt sites within the project area. Rabbit numbers have decreased slightly since the introduction of the calicivirus.

Goats are also destructive and have the potential to cause serious environmental degradation. They browse and eat the bark of shrubs and trees, are prolific grazers, pull many plants up by the roots and cause erosion by trampling the soil with their sharp hooves (White,
Goats are not known to occur within the project area; however, the potential exist for populations to expand beyond their current distribution if suitable watering points are created.

Camels are widely distributed within the GVD and grazing has been shown to negatively impact on vegetation (Peeters et al., 2005). Shepard (1995) also suggests that the capacity of camels to drink waterholes dry can cause serious environmental impacts. Such impacts are likely to increase if camel densities continue to rise. Densities in the Northern Territory are predicted to increase by 10% per year and approximately double every eight years (Edwards et al, 2004). A report on Plumridge Lakes prepared by the non-profit organisation, Desert Discovery, suggests that camel numbers in the GVD may be as high as 700,000 (no reference was provided for this figure). It is worth noting that the lack of artificial watering points in the GVD may limit the abundance and distribution of camels in times of drought (Peeters et al., 2005).

Feral cats are abundant throughout the GVD. There lack of dependence on free water for drinking, their omnivorous diet and their capacity to breed prolifically has allowed them to survive and flourish in the arid environment. Whilst rabbits are the primary food source for feral cats, native mammal populations are likely to have suffered dramatic declines as alternative food sources were found in times of drought. Shepard (1995) notes that many of the native mammals now extinct are within the size range of prey preferred by feral cats.

The red fox has a similar distribution across Australia to the rabbit and can be found throughout the GVD. Like the feral cat it will seek out native fauna when rabbits are scarce. Cats and foxes are considered to be key threats to most faunal species at risk within the GVD.

### 3.3 Landuse History

The landuse history of the GVD, and adjacent areas, can be divided into four main periods which are:

- **Pre 1857**: Traditional Aboriginal life prior to European contact.
- **1857 to 1932**: European exploration.
- **1932 to 1970**: European development.
- **1970 to present day**: Recent times.

The following summary of the landuse history is provided for completeness and draws heavily on the work completed by Mark Shepherd in his book *The Great Victoria Desert: north of the Nullarbor, south of the Centre* (1995) and work completed by the Commonwealth Government.

#### 3.3.1 Traditional Aboriginal life prior to European contact

The Australian continent has been inhabited by Aboriginal people for approximately 50,000 years. In the GVD traditional life revolved around small groups using hunter gatherer techniques to survive. Water determined how successful the use of these techniques (i.e. hunting) would be and the availability of foods from traditional plant sources. As a result these groups were extremely mobile, highly flexible and adaptive due to their dependence on the limited sources of water throughout the area.

To survive the harsh conditions that prevailed throughout the GVD, periods of low rainfall and even drought, the Aboriginal people employed water conservation measures such as...
construction of dams on clay pans, the use of harvesting water from the roots of certain trees and covering rock holes to reduce evaporation.

3.3.2 European Exploration: 1857 to 1932

Following on from the arrival of Europeans in Australia, the seventy five years between 1857 and 1932 were dominated by the exploration of the region by Europeans whose aspirations and goals were to explore the large uncharted block of land to the west of the Overland Telegraph line and to establish the first land link with the western coast. This would only be possible and achieved by finding permanent supplies of good water and lands that could be developed to support communities across this new region of Australia.

Exploration occurred from both directions: from east to west by the likes of Giles (1873) and Forrest (1874) and from west to east by the likes of Mason (1896) and Russel (1897). One of the main impacts of these ventures was the increased contact with the traditional people that lived across the region and the beginning of the influence of Europeans on their lifestyles.

3.3.3 The Contact Years: 1932 to 1970s

This period of land tenure was dominated by a series of major events including:

- WWII
- Construction of the Transaccess railway and numerous access tracks across the region.
- Establishment of Missions at places such as Cunderlee, Mount Margaret and Cosmo Newberry as part of the government's policy approach to provide welfare to and assimilate Aboriginal people into the wider Australian community.
- Proclamation of the Woomera Prohibited Area by the Australian and British Governments in 1946. The area was subsequently used for the testing of long range rockets, major nuclear trials (at Totem and Maralinga) and several hundred smaller scale trails (AVRN, 2008).

3.3.4 Recent times: From 1970 to the present day

The majority of the GVD today is crown land, conservation reserves and Aboriginal land. Since the 1970s Aboriginal people have had the legislative backing to begin and see through the process by which they can claim land tenure over their traditional lands and as a consequence return to these lands to live. As a result a significant portion (majority) of the GVD is under the tenure of four major Aboriginal groups (Shephard, 1995) including the Arangu Pitjantjatjara and Maralinga Tjarutja.

Conservation and nature reserves in the GVD include Queen Victoria Spring, Plumridge Lakes and Neales Junction all of which are within or close to the study area and TGP area.

Despite the intense period of exploration from 1857 to 1932, little infrastructure has been constructed and the majority of the GVD is still considered inaccessible (AVRN, 2008). As a consequence large expanses of the region can be considered to be relatively pristine and retain most of the biological integrity.

The region has however been subject to more recent periods of exploration and visitation with the resultant localised degradation of the region (AVRN, 2008). This has included mineral exploration activity which has been typically undertaken from the Western Australian side of
the GVD from the centres of Kalgoorlie Boulder and Laverton. Examples of such activity include:

- PNC: for uranium
- Western Mining: for nickel
- Independence/AngloGold Ashanti: for gold
- Energy and Minerals Australia: for uranium

4. **Attributes of interest**

The TGP is located in a region of Western Australia that, as the above sections demonstrate, can be considered to be of particular interest. This has been recently recognised by the Department of Environment and Conservation which registered the south western portion of the GVD as a site of priority ecological conservation (PEC) in August 2008 (DEC, 2008).

The key special attributes of the study area (and the south west of the GVD) are summarised below.

4.1 **Geology and Landforms**

The study area is dominated by, as described in Section 3.1, the following significant geological and landform features:

- The study area straddles the north western and western boundary of the marine incursions that occurred during the Miocene and Eocene (ref Section 3.1.2). As a consequence the surface geology and subsequent landforms are strongly influenced by the underlying bedrock.

- The study area is dominated by two landforms; dunes and sand plains. These have been formed by erosion of the underlying igneous and sedimentary bedrock (refer Section 3.1.3). The resultant landforms have had a strong influence on the development of the flora and fauna communities observed across both the study and project areas.

- Lake Rason is a wetland of know and recorded significance. Located to the north of the project area, it is an area of saline lacustrine sediments that relate to the current and past (ie. palaeodrainage) drainage history of the area. Its significance may be attributed to the close relationship that can be identified between the underlying geology and soils and the resultant landforms and vegetation. For example the soils that are observed within the Lake Rason area are fine grained and generally comprise in excess of 45% silts and clays (ASRIS 2008). The presence of these soils has had a strong controlling influence on the vegetation associations in the area. In the area immediately surrounding Lake Rason the vegetation typically comprises salt bush and blue bush.

4.2 **Vast, Remote and Intact**

The most significant feature of the GVD is that it is vast, remote and intact.

A review of data from the Australian Native Vegetation Assessment 2001 (Cofinas & Creighton, 2001) revealed that the GVD is one the largest intact landscapes left in Australia.
It is also reported to be among the ten largest deserts in the world (World Almanac, 2005), making its considerable expanse significant not only nationally but also on a global level.

Due to its remote location, the GVD has largely escaped European incursions and remains in a relatively undisturbed condition. Impacts resulting from sandalwood harvesting, mining exploration and tourist activity have been limited and, apart from the western fringes which have been subjected to clearing and grazing by domestic stock, the condition of the landscape is good. The GVD also has virtually all of its original vegetation remaining (ANRA, 2008). By comparison, only 40% of the pre-European extent of native vegetation still remains in the South West and only 8.6% remains in the western Avon Wheatbelt subregion (EPA, 2007). Connectivity within landscapes is important for maintaining key ecosystem processes and diversity at all levels. Fragmented landscapes may experience a decline in genetic diversity and ecosystem resilience.

The GVD has also largely escaped the invasion and establishment of weeds which has occurred in more accessible and disturbed regions. Only four weed species have been recorded in the study area during TGP baseline assessment surveys.

4.3 Biodiversity Significance

The GVD is also significant from a biodiversity perspective. As discussed previously (Section 3.2.2), it is host to one of the richest lizard faunas in the world with up to 53 species recorded at a single sand ridge site (Pianka, 1996). By way of comparison, American deserts host a mere dozen species of lizards. Many factors have contributed to such extraordinary lizard diversity; however, the most significant appear to be the shifting and isolation of vegetation patches during the Pleistocene and the mosaic of habitats created by natural wildfires.

Whilst inland arid regions are generally not considered to represent areas of significant plant diversity, a study by Sattler and Creighton (2002) focusing on combined Acacia and eucalypt diversity across Australia, found that the GVD is more significant than first thought. Sattler and Creighton analysed patterns of species richness, endemism and irreplaceability for all species of Acacia and eucalypt across IBRA regions. (Irreplaceability is a measure of the degree to which species in a region are represented in other regions). The study revealed that the GVD was significant in terms of not only the number of species present from both genera but also the proportion of Acacia and eucalypt species that have restricted distributions.

The GVD is also considered to be significant in terms of its connectivity at a broader landscape level. An example is the ‘Giles Corridor’ which is a narrow strip of Acacia vegetation in the northern sector of the GVD linking Acacia shrubland in the Pilbara to that of the central ranges by passing through Lake Carnegie. Such corridors are particularly important for fauna reliant on Acacia shrubland for habitat. (It should be noted, however, that the ‘Giles Corridor’ is outside of the study area.) Shepard (1995) suggests that the distinctive mallee belt immediately to the north of the Nullarbor Plain may also form a biological corridor between the southern mallee of South Australia and Western Australia.

Given the extent and remoteness of the GVD, only a small portion of the landscape has been surveyed and it may contain taxa currently unknown to science. Hopper and Gioia (2004) suggest that 10-15 % of Western Australia’s flowering plants are yet to be discovered. Results of fine scale studies recently commissioned by TJV were unavailable at the time of this assessment, but should contribute significantly to existing baseline data and provide a greater understanding of biodiversity within the study area and region.
5. Project Development and Potential Impacts

5.1 General

The development of any mining project will result in a variety of impacts on and to the receiving environment. What is important is how these impacts are first understood and contextualised then assessed, prioritized and subsequently managed by the proponent of the project.

The aim of this study has been to identify the processes that have resulted in the development or formation of the prevailing landscape as it exists today in the study area and more specifically the project area. By improving the understanding of the ecological processes that operate at the broader regional scale, which is critical if landscapes are to function effectively at a regional level, the impacts of the TGP may be minimised and managed effectively.

The assessment has found that the study and project areas comprise a complex and arid ecosystem that has developed over time and may be considered to be delicately balanced. Due to the delicate balance of the arid environment, the potential indirect impact of the project may be felt at a much wider landscape scale than the more discrete direct impacts associated with a typical mine. Indeed the cumulative effect of impacts that may be considered manageable in their own right may actually be sufficient to initiate broader scale landscape degradation.

At a broad scale the main impacts that have been identified are as follows:

- Improved access to the region.
- Fire.
- Ferals.
- Weeds.
- Clearing.
- Fragmenting habitats and severing corridors.
- Waste.

The impact management strategies for the Tropicana project should be developed:

- At the broader landscape level (ie. beyond the immediate project boundaries).
- To engage a wide and relevant group of stakeholders from government, industry and community groups.
- To recognise the evolutionary and existing ecological processes with respect to the landscape so that key large scale features of the landscape including long term ecological processes and interactions are preserved.
- To maintain connectivity between ecosystems and population.
- With an emphasis on maintaining ecological integrity rather than restoration. (The strategies should be preventative to limit the disturbance footprint of the project rather than repair damage caused).
- To be adaptive with respect to data gaps and research priorities and allow for development/evolution as result of monitoring and feedback.
5.2 Improved Access to the Region

Development of the TGP will open up the south western portion of the GVD to increased human activity and traffic through the construction of either the Pinjin access road or the Tropicana to Transline Infrastructure Corridor, or both. The increased activity will be related to mineral exploration and tourist activity.

The impact is considered more significant following the recent lifting, by the newly elected Liberal State Government, of the ban on uranium mining in Western Australian (The Sunday Times, November 2008). The study area is known to contain three significant deposits of uranium ore which have been previously explored by NPC and more recently by Energy Australia NL (at Mulga Rocks).

Improved access to the region may be considered as the beginning of the impact of the TGP. Subsequent and related impacts are fire, ferals and weeds as described in the following sections.

5.3 Fire

The impact of fire on the vegetation and associated fauna has been described in detail Section 3.2.1. Observations made during the site visit in July 2008 indicated that some of the study area has been subject to significant fires in subsequent years (ie. after two years of burning). The increased frequency of burning has had an impact on the mulga, spinifex and mallee communities which are sensitive to repeat fire events.

Whilst the majority of fires may be attributed to natural causes (lightning), the frequency and proximity and frequency of some of the fires may be the result of human activity. It may be considered that increased human activity has and will, have an increasing and more destructive impact on the landscape. In order to manage the potential impact of fire on the region, the impact at the community and landscape level should be investigated further. Management strategies should also be flexible to cater for changes in fuel load following periods of rain.

5.4 Ferals

The development of the TGP may result in increased feral numbers and activity in the study and project areas. The Pinjin access road and the Tropicana to Transline Infrastructure Corridor will provide ferals with better access to the area, whilst activity at the TGP and neighbouring sites will provide ferals with more readily available sources of water and food. Similarly, following periods of higher rainfall, feral activity can increase through attraction to the new vegetation (ie. goats, camels).

Examples of the impacts of increased feral numbers include the displacement of natives and loss of seeds by rabbits.

As the impact of ferals is regional, the management of the issue needs to be effected at a regional level to ensure that the area as a whole is managed.

5.5 Weeds

The information presented in Section 3.2.1 indicates that whilst a total of 53 weed species have the potential to occur within the GVD (Thorpe, 2008), only recorded four weed species are present within the TGP area. This has been attributed to the current limited dispersal opportunities (e.g. human, vehicle movements, etc), limited disturbance (i.e. relatively intact
bushland in good condition) and current weed management practices employed by mining companies.

As for fire and ferals the TGP may, through the improved access to the region, increase the number of weeds found in the region due to increased vehicle movements (dispersal), increased frequency of soil movements, increased fire events and due to clearance of areas of native vegetation. It should be noted that weeds are able to invade large areas and can lie dormant for many years prior to germinating resulting in an incremental impact on the landscape.

Table 4 provides a summary of the four impacts described above and other broader landscape scale impacts that may be experienced as a result of the development of the TGP. As this study is focused on the broader level of the region and impacts, it is anticipated that a more detailed assessment of the more specific impacts that may be experienced will be addressed by the full environmental impact assessment (PER) documentation. As a result no comment on these impacts has been made in this report.
Table 4: Description of Potential Landscape Impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Management Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved Access to the Region through the construction of access roads – Pinjin access road and the Tropicana to Transline Infrastructure Corridor.</td>
<td>Improved access for other mining companies and tourists into area as a whole.</td>
<td>Development of broader stakeholder group to coordinate and manage regional project environmental impacts, for example development of co-management strategies for waste collection, fire management and access control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased frequency of man made fire events.</td>
<td>Development of community usage and access policy for area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction of feral animals and easier access for existing feral camel communities.</td>
<td>Design of roads to avoid dunes, access through existing areas of disturbance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction of weeds.</td>
<td>Inclusion of drainage in road construction design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearance of vegetation in 20m road reserve – total of 315 hectares.</td>
<td>Revegetation/rehabilitation works to commence from beginning of construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Destabilisation of dunes through vegetation removal and construction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flora/fauna community segregation and fragmentation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disturbance of migration routes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disturbance of surface drainage patterns – impact on vegetation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase waste disposal and landscape degradation, for example through use of trees for firewood.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Decline and destruction of flora (mulga, mallee – Section 3.2.1 and fauna, habitats).</td>
<td>Development of broader stakeholder group to coordinate and manage project environmental impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevention of regrowth due to increased frequency of intense fires – man made rather than due to natural</td>
<td>Development of monitoring programmes for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes/Impact</td>
<td>Action/Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feral species</td>
<td>Displacement and removal of native fauna, for example reptiles. Extinctions of native fauna. Disturbance of offsite water supplies - camels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds</td>
<td>Displacement of native flora. Increased weed colonisation of area, disturbed areas (as a result of construction).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing (construction of mine site facilities)</td>
<td>Clearance of vegetation across mine area – footprint of open pit, waste dumps, TSF, plant, workshops, village and airstrip anticipated to be 3,500 hectares. Destabilisation of dunes through vegetation removal and construction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of monitoring, trapping and eradication strategies. Closure and fencing of all water supplies/tanks. Improved waste disposal and management.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of a Weed Management Plan Development of cleaning and quarantine procedures for all equipment coming on site. Monitoring and eradication programmes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimise footprint in design stages. Location of mine site and associated infrastructure away from dunes to minimise need for disturbance. Revegetation/rehabilitation works to commence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Impacts and Mitigation Measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora/fauna community segregation and fragmentation. Disturbance of migration routes. Disturbance of surface drainage patterns – impact on vegetation. Dust impact on vegetation</td>
<td>from beginning of construction with rolling/ongoing program of rehabilitation throughout mine life (progressive rehabilitation). Monitoring and implement dust suppression measures as appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of borefield and water extraction</td>
<td>Clearance of vegetation – localised for access to and construction of bores, access roads and pipelines. Development of extended drawdown cone with broader impact on flora communities. Secondly the development of a borefields in at the Minigwal Trough to the north of the project will result in the extraction of water from the underlying Officer Basin. Whilst it is understood that the depth from which water is to be extracted is in excess of 100m and that studies have shown, thus far, that impacts on the hydrogeology of the area should be minimal, the impacts of such water harvesting over a ten year mine life will be longer term and much further reaching in their extent. Disturbance of surface drainage patterns – impact on vegetation.</td>
<td>Development of monitoring plan for vegetation stress to track broader water extraction impacts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Summary and Recommendations

The TJV is proposing to develop the Tropicana Gold Project (TGP). The project is located in an area of Western Australia that has been subject to a limited number of studies and investigations since the early 1970s. Whilst these have been typically associated with earlier exploration work completed by companies such as Western Mining Corporation (WMC), the focus of these studies has been on the immediate management of discrete impacts.

This study has demonstrated that the TGP is located in an area of the Western Australian landscape that is considered to be of particular interest. The study has shown that many characteristics of the region can be related to the underlying geology, soils and landforms, all of which have had an influence on the development of the landscape, vegetation and fauna communities that can be seen across the study and project areas.

It is known that the TGP will have an impact on the landscape through mining and related activity. The purpose of this study, and the more detailed baseline studies that are being completed as part of the PER, is to improve the TJV understanding of the landscape and its evolution so that the impacts of the project may be minimised, mitigated and managed appropriately. It is recommended that the information and conclusions presented in this report are revisited and revised by TJV on completion of the baseline studies to ensure that all documents are relevant to the TGP.

What is harder to determine is the impact and the extent of the impacts that the TGP will have on the wider/broader landscape due to the activity of others, for example other mining and exploration companies and increased tourist traffic. In order to manage the broader impacts presented in Table 4, it is recommended that the TJV form a broad stakeholder group with state and local government agencies, mining and exploration companies with interests in the region and, most importantly, community groups for the region in order to develop a coordinated management approach for the duration of the project. This group should then focus its efforts on the development of coordinated management of, for example, fire (through further fire studies), ferals and weeds within the study area and south west GVD.
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Appendix B

Referral Document
Referral of a Proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the Environmental Protection Act.

Referral by the Proponent

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the Act.

A referral to the EPA by a proponent under Section 38(1) must be made on this form.

Before completing this form, proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA’s General Guide for Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act 1986 (accessed at the EPA’s website at www.epa.wa.gov.au or by contacting the EPA on 6467 5419).

Proponents need to complete Parts A and B of the form by marking the appropriate boxes and providing explanatory or additional information where requested. Part B should be completed based on information known to the proponent. Only those sections of Part B that are pertinent to the proposal need to be completed. If space is insufficient, attach additional pages. Where information is contained in a report that is to be submitted with the referral form, the proponent may complete sections of the form by referring to the pertinent section of the report.

Proponents are encouraged to attach any other environmental information they consider may be relevant to the EPA for making a decision on whether or not to assess the proposal, and, if it is to be assessed, the level of assessment. In general, referrals should contain information on the potential environmental impacts of the proposal, the proposed management mechanisms to be implemented to minimise and mitigate for these impacts, and how the principles of the EP Act have been addressed by the proposal.

In addition to providing a hard copy of referral documentation, proponents are also requested to provide an electronic copy of the referral document, noting that section 39(2) of the EP Act provides for a proponent to request that matters of a confidential nature not be kept on the public record. If confidential matters are included in the referral, proponents are requested to identify the confidential information at this stage of the process, specifically request that it be treated as confidential, and submit the confidential information in a separate hard copy attachment to the referral document. The electronic copy of the referral should be identical to the hard copy of the referral document, excluding any confidential attachment.

You may need to contact government agencies or local authorities to obtain information required by this form. A list of key agencies and their contact details is provided in Attachment 1.

Where the EPA decides that a proposal will be assessed at the level of Public Environmental Review or Environmental Review and Management Programme, it will also require the proponent to prepare an Environmental Scoping Document (refer Environmental Impact Assessment (Part IV Division 1) Administrative Procedures 2002).

Proponents should also be aware of the need to determine their obligations under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act). The EPBC Act is separate legislation to the Environmental Protection Act and it identifies a number of matters of national environmental significance which are subject to assessment and approval by the Commonwealth. The matters identified as triggers for the Commonwealth assessment and approval regime are World Heritage properties, Ramsar wetlands, nationally threatened species and ecological communities, migratory species, Commonwealth marine areas, and nuclear actions (refer to the Department of Environment and Water Resources website at www.environment.gov.au). Questions in this referral form that may be relevant to matters of national environmental significance are marked with a #.
1. PROPONENT DETAILS, PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

1.1 Proponent information

- Proposal title
  Tropicana Gold Project (referred to below as the Project)

- Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located
  City of Kalgoorlie Boulder, Shire of Menzies and the Shire of Laverton (Mine Access Routes, Operational Area and Water Supply Areas respectively)

- Name of proponent (Person or entity proposing to implement the proposal)
  Tropicana Joint Venture (TJV)

- Names of Joint Venture entities (if applicable)
  AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited (70%) and Independence Group NL (30%, non-operating partner)

- Address of proponent
  Tropicana Joint Venture  
  c/o AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited  
  PO Box Z5046  
  Perth, WA, 6831  
  [Level 13, St Martins Tower, 44 St Georges Terrace Perth, WA 6000]

- Key contact for the proposal
  (Name address and phone/facsimile number and email address. The contact may be a consultant, if one is being used)
  Ms Belinda Bastow  
  Environmental Manager – Tropicana / Exploration  
  Ph: (08) 9425 4621  Fax: (08) 9425 4663  
  Email: bbastow@anglogoldashanti.com.au

- Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?
  The proposed Project will be located on the tenements listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Tenements associated with the Tropicana Gold Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mine Access Road Routes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 1 - Pinjin Route¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2 - Transline / Cable Haul Rd Route²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational Area</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M39/978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M39/1049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Supply Areas</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingwal Sub-Basin³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Basin⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The Pinjin Route is the preferred road route to Tropicana
2. Tranline / Cable Haul Rd Route is the back-up access route
3. The Minigwal Sub Basin is the preferred Water Supply Area for the Project
4. The Officer Basin is a back-up Water Supply Area

Please note that AngloGold is exploring for water in two areas at present; when operational, the proposed Project will require one Water Supply Area only. Note also that water exploration is currently undertaken under a Miscellaneous Licence for water exploration. Once the preferred Water Supply Area is confirmed an application for a Miscellaneous Licence for water supply infrastructure will be made, including bores, a pipeline alignment and infrastructure corridors. The TJV is investigating two Mine Access Road Route options, only one of which will be developed as the access route to service the Operational Area (the mine site). The second route maybe used for a communication corridor.

☐ Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented?
   (please tick) ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, please provide details.

☐ Is approval required from any Commonwealth or State Government agency or Local Authority for any part of the proposal?
   ☑ Yes ☐ No

If yes, name all Agencies and Local Authorities from which any approval is required.

Approvals will be required from various agencies including:

- City of Kalgoorlie Boulder
- Shire of Menzies
- Shire of Laverton
- Department of Industry and Resources
- Department of Water
- Department of Environment and Conservation
- Department of Employee and Consumer Protection
- Department of Health
- Department of Indigenous Affairs
- Federal Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA).

The Tropicana Gold Project will be referred to DEWHA for assessment under the EPBC Act. The TJV plans to make use of the bilateral agreement between WA Government and the Commonwealth for the assessment of this project.

☐ If yes above, have you lodged any of the necessary applications or have you discussed the proposal with any person(s) at the Agency or Local Authority?
   ☑ Yes ☐ No

If yes, name all Agencies and Local Authorities for which applications have been submitted or with whom the proposal has been discussed.

Discussions have occurred and are continuing with regulating Agencies and Authorities including:

- Department of Environment and Conservation (Environment Management Branch, Goldfields Region and Science Division)
- Federal Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts
- Office of Development Approvals Coordination (ODAC)
- Department of Industry and Resources (Titles, Land Access, Environment)
• Department of Employee and Consumer Protection
• Department of Water
• Shire of Menzies
• City of Kalgoorlie Boulder
• Shire of Laverton
• Office of Native Title
• Department of Indigenous Affairs

What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?

The Operational Area of the proposed Project is located on granted Mining Leases and situated over vacant Crown Land approximately 330km east-northeast of Kalgoorlie and 14km northwest of the Plumridge Lakes Nature Reserve (see Figure 1). These Mining Leases are jointly held by AngloGold and Independence Group NL (the TJV). The Officer Basin water exploration areas abut the Neale Junction Nature Reserve and are situated on vacant Crown Land. The Minigwal Basin water exploration area is approximately 200km east of Laverton and is also located on vacant Crown Land. The current land use of both Road Route options is a combination of existing tracks and roads, and vacant Crown Land. Both road options and both potential water supply areas are shown on Figure 1.

The tenement areas associated with the Project are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenement Area</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mining Leases</td>
<td>17,080ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinjin Access Route Miscellaneous Licences</td>
<td>24,000ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transline/Caul Haul Rd Route Miscellaneous Licences</td>
<td>4,300ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minigwal Sub Basin MiscellaneousLicences</td>
<td>160,000ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Basin Miscellaneous Licences</td>
<td>337,000ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 Proposal Description (Please attach extra pages where necessary)

Provide a description of the proposal.

The proposed Project aims to establish an open-cut gold mine, processing plant and associated infrastructure located approximately 330km east northeast of Kalgoorlie and 230km east of Laverton (Figure 1).

The Project will consist of:

• A series of open-cut pits producing up to 7.5Mt/pa of ore and up to 60Mt/pa of waste rock with an anticipated mine life of 10 years.
• Waste rock dumps, low-grade (L/G) stockpiles and Run-of-Mine (ROM) storage area. The waste landform will comprise a series of waste rock dumps that will store up to 600Mt of waste rock and L/G material.
• Crushing and grinding circuit comprising of a primary crusher, High Pressure Grinding Rolls (HPGR), fine ore stockpile (50Kt) and a mill (either semi-autogenous grinding (SAG) or a Ball Mill),
• A Carbon in Pulp (CIP) processing plant with a production capacity of up to 6.5Mt/pa. The CIP plant proposed for the Project is a typical gold processing plant used in Western Australia. The CIP processing plant will contain two thickeners (for leach and tailings), two leach tanks, six Carbon in Leach tanks (CIL), an elution circuit, carbon regeneration kiln, cyanide destruction circuit and gold recovery system.
• A Tailings Storage Facility (TSF) with a storage capacity of up to 65Mt and associated tailing and water recovery pipelines (approximately 6km of High Density Poly-ethylene (HDPE) pipe of 250 - 400mm diameter).
• An onsite power station with a power generation capacity of up to 40MW fuelled by diesel, natural gas or liquid natural gas (LNG) or a combination. Consideration is also being given to the construction of a Thermal Solar power station with a capacity of up to 50Mwatts. Depending on the fuel source the Project may require diesel fuel storage with a capacity of up to 4ML, and/or an onsite LNG storage with a capacity of around 1400m³ and/or installation up to 400km of gas pipeline.

• An Mine Access Road and associated supporting infrastructure such as water collection points (i.e. bores or collection dam) and borrow pits. It is envisaged that the road will link into existing gravel roads at either the Pinjin Station or the Kitchener siding on the Trans Australian Railway Line. Depending on the route up to 300km of road will be established with up to 15 borrow pits and 10 watering points. A public bypass road will also be established to divert public traffic away from the project.

• A Water Supply Area (borefield) with up to 30-production water bores generating up to 7Mm³/annum. Water supply investigations to date have shown that the total dissolved solids (TDS) in the available water ranges from 30,000 – 240,000mg/L. The borefield will contain 60 – 120km of buried pipelines that will transfer the water from either the Minigwal Sub Basin or the Officer Basin to the processing plant. It is likely that a low and a high saline pipeline network will be established within the same pipeline corridor to maximise the efficiency of water use. This water will be used for processing, dust suppression and generating potable water via the site’s Reverse Osmosis (RO) plant.

• Onsite RO plant with an annual production rate of up to 20,000KL. Wastewater generated by the RO plant will be recycled to the processing plant.

• An aggregate quarry (50,000t/yr) and borrow pits (50,000t/yr), primarily for construction.

• A village with capacity to house a fly-in fly-out workforce of up to 700 persons during construction and operations.

• An all weather airstrip and associated infrastructure such as fuel storage tank, terminal and animal exclusion fence.
Figure 1: Location of the proposed Tropicana Gold Project
What is the proposed ultimate extent (area in hectares) of the activity?
The ultimate extent of the proposal is anticipated to be up to 3,495 ha. A break down of the final disturbance area per activity is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Approximate disturbance areas for activities associated with the Tropicana Gold Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Proposed Maximum Disturbance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Cut Mine</td>
<td>400ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing Plant</td>
<td>30ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Dump</td>
<td>1,200ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailing Storage Facility</td>
<td>350ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM Pad</td>
<td>40ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailings Pipe Corridor</td>
<td>15ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Site &amp; Sewage Ponds</td>
<td>15ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Grade Stockpiles</td>
<td>50ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarry &amp; Borrow Pits</td>
<td>30ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>20ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td>20ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Storage Dams</td>
<td>20ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water Run-off Dam</td>
<td>220ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airstrip</td>
<td>50ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal roads</td>
<td>100ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Access road (&amp; Public bypass)</td>
<td>315ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas pipeline¹</td>
<td>200ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Bores</td>
<td>30ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Corridor</td>
<td>150ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply Corridor</td>
<td>240ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Disturbance Estimate - Total</td>
<td>3,495ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Clearing associated with the Gas pipeline will only occur if the gas supply option is confirmed to be a viable fuel supply for the project power station.

Provide the timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur. (Include start and finish dates where applicable)

- May 2008: Refer proposal to EPA
- December 2008: Environmental Impact Assessment document released for public review
- September 2009: EPA’s Bulletin Released
- August 2009: Ministerial Statement released
- October 2009: Construction commences
Provide details of any staging of the proposal.

It is envisaged that the Project will progressively increase the number/size of open-cut pits over the life of the Project. The total area proposed to be cleared for the pits would not exceed 400ha based on current exploration knowledge. This proposal is based on the assumed maximal pit-size of 400ha.

Additional Exploration will occur within region as approved under the Mining Act 1978 Programme of Work process.

Indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.

The TJV has existing approvals for mineral and water exploration within and adjacent to the operational area. This work plus normal regional exploration activities will continue as approved under the Mining Act 1978 Programme of Work process while the Project undergoes the Environmental Impact Assessment process.

1.3 Location information

Provide proposal location details in the following two ways:

a) Electronic spatial data
   - GIS or CAD on CD, depicting the proposal extent, geo-referenced and conforming to the following parameters:
     - datum: GDA94
     - projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA)
     - format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD.

AND

b) Maps and/or directions
   - Any maps or diagrams of the proposal, together with the following directions:
     - for urban areas: street address, lot number, the suburb and nearest road intersection;
     - for remote localities: the nearest town, together with distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.

See Figure 1 for the location of the proposed mine. The nearest large towns are Kalgoorlie (330km west-south-west of the proposed Operational Area) and Laverton (230km west).

Please also attach the following map/plans, clearly showing the location of the development in its regional and local context.

See Figures 2 - 4 below. Figures 2 and 3 show the general locality, proposed disturbance areas and existing environment for all infrastructure associated with the Project (Operational Area, Mine Access Road and Water Supply Area). As stated above, please note that only one Mine Access Road and only one Water Supply Area will be required for the Project. Figure 4 shows the site of the proposed Operational Area in more detail, as this area will be more significantly disturbed by the proposed Project than the Mine Access Road and the Water Supply Area. Other than the TJVs exploration activities in the vicinity of the Operational Area (approved under the Mining Act 1978) the area is largely undisturbed by human influences. Bush fires have affected vegetation in some parts of the Operational Area and Mine Access Road Routes.

Locality plan – Broad Scale

Provide a locality plan (preferably superimposed on an aerial photograph) to identify:

- proposed development site and any associated infrastructure
- main roads
- urban centres
- wetlands and watercourses
- remnant native vegetation
- adjoining land uses (including recreation)
- sensitive marine areas

See Figure 2 below. Other than approved disturbances (by the Tropicana JVs exploration activities in the area) the vegetation is undisturbed; while bush fires have affected some areas.
Figure 2: Broad Scale Locality Map showing the Operational Area, Water Supply Area options and Mine Access Road options
Figure 3: Broad Scale Map of the Existing Environment (Sand Ridge and Native vegetation data sourced from Geosciences Australia)
Figure 4: Conceptual Site Layout and Existing Environment at the proposed Operational Area.

Note that only one village of the three mapped options will be constructed and that the airstrip and quarry will be located within the bounded area (northern portion of figure), and will not require clearing of the entire bounded area.
Site Plan – Proposal Details
Provide a site plan to scale and indicate the location of:
• lot boundaries
• road frontages
• extent of the proposed development area
• extent of the proposed buffer area (if applicable)

Site Plan – Existing Environment
Provide a site plan to scale (the same scale as above) and indicate the location of:
• lot boundaries
• road frontages
• any information required to be shown from Section 2.2 of this form
• extent of native vegetation of the site (the extent of overlap between the proposed development area and the area of native vegetation must be highlighted)
• extent of hydrological features on the site (this includes wetlands, watercourses, creek lines, seasonal creeks and artificial drainage lines)
• sensitive marine areas

PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MANAGEMENT COMMITMENTS

2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Describe the impacts of the proposal on the following elements of the environment, through the questions below:
(i) flora and vegetation #;
(ii) fauna #;
(iii) rivers, creeks, wetlands and estuaries;
(iv) significant areas and/ or land features;
(v) coastal zone areas;
(vi) marine areas and biota #;
(vii) water supply and drainage catchments;
(viii) pollution;
(ix) greenhouse gas emissions;
(x) contamination;
(xi) social surroundings; and
(xii) risk.
These features should be shown on the site plan, where appropriate)
For all information, please indicate:
(a) the source of the information; and
(b) the currency of the information.

2.1 Flora and Vegetation
Do you propose to clear any native flora and vegetation as a part of this proposal?
A proposal to clear native vegetation may require a clearing permit under Part V of the EP Act (Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004). Please contact the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) for more information.

(please tick)  ☑ Yes  If yes, complete the rest of this section  
☐ No  If no, go to the next section

- How much vegetation are you proposing to clear (in hectares)?
  - Up to 3.495ha.

- Have you submitted an application to clear native vegetation to the DEC (unless you are exempt from such a requirement)?
  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, on what date and to which office was the application submitted of the DEC?

- Are you aware of any recent flora surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this proposal?
  - Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please attach a copy of any related survey reports and provide the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey/s. (If no, please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.)

Prior to commencing exploration activities for the proposed Project, there was an absence of baseline flora information for the proposed Operational Area and its immediate vicinity. Some data was available for the adjacent Plumridge Lakes Nature Reserve and other DEC estates in the region (e.g., David Pearson 1994 PhD: The vegetation and flora of Queen Victoria Spring Nature Reserve, Western Australia), the TJV commissioned a baseline flora survey and targeted threatened species surveys for the Operational Area which have also encompassed the surrounding area to provide regional context. Both Mine Access Road Routes and the Minigwal Basin have also been surveyed. The TJVs surveys have identified, the presence of several species of conservation significance in the area, see below.

A summary of the work completed to date has been attached to this document. (Refer to attachment 1)

- Has a search of DEC records for known occurrences of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological communities been conducted for the site? #
  - ☑ Yes  ☐ No  If you are proposing to clear native vegetation for any part of your proposal, a search of DEC records of known occurrences of rare or priority flora and threatened ecological communities will be required. Please contact DEC for more information.

- Are there any known occurrences of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological communities on the site? #
  - ☑ Yes  ☐ No  If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.

The flora surveys commissioned by the TJV have identified the presence of several species of Declared Rare and Priority Flora across the Operational Area, Mine Access Road Routes and the Minigwal Basin including:
• Conospermum toddii (DRF)
• Baeckea sp. Sandstone (P1)
• Baeckea sp. Great Victoria Desert (P2)
• Dicrastylis nicholasii (P2)
• Grevillea secunda (P2)
• Malleostemon sp. Officer Basin (P2)
• Acacia eremophila (numerous nerved variant) (P3)
• Lepidobolus deserti (P3)
• Microcorys macredieana (P3)
• Micromyrtus stenocalyx (P3)
• Olearia arida (P3)

These species are also found in areas outside the proposed Project’s disturbance area. Vegetation mapping and flora survey have been undertaken over approximately 200,000ha.

☐ If located within the Perth Metropolitan Region, is the proposed development within or adjacent to a listed Bush Forever Site? (You will need to contact the Bush Forever Office, at the Department for Planning and Infrastructure)

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please indicate which Bush Forever site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).

☐ What is the condition of the vegetation at the site?

Vegetation condition throughout the Operational Area varies from small areas of disturbed vegetation around mineral exploration bores and access tracks, to larger areas of fire affected vegetation and large areas of undisturbed vegetation.

Vegetation along both Mine Access Road Routes varies from highly disturbed areas along existing roads and tracks and/or fire affected areas, to undisturbed vegetation.

Refer to Attachment 1 for more information on vegetation condition

2.2 Fauna

☐ Do you expect that any fauna or fauna habitat will be impacted by the proposal? (please tick) ☑ Yes If yes, complete the rest of this section  ☐ No If no, go to the next section

☐ Describe the nature and extent of the expected impact.

Vegetation clearing will result in the loss of fauna habitat and will potentially result in the loss of individuals from a variety of terrestrial fauna species known to use habitat in and around the proposed Project area. The Operational Area will be most affected.

In particular, ground-disturbing activities at the proposed Operational Area including the establishment of the open-cut pits, waste dumps, and TSF will result in the removal of habitat known to be used by the Southern Marsupial Mole (SMM; Notorcytes typhlops). It is also possible that internal roads and other infrastructure including the village and airstrip will increase ground compaction in sandy areas, which are also inhabited by the SMM. This may limit the ability of the SMM to move through the environment. Assessment of the likely risk and extent of impacts on the SMM is limited by a lack of current knowledge for the species. The TJV has commissioned extensive surveys for the SMM and has determined that they occur more frequently along sandy dunes and in sandy
inter-dunal areas (rather than loam). Less than 10% (approximately 230 ha) of the proposed clearing and compaction impacts for the proposed Project will occur in preferred SMM habitat at Tropicana. This is a very small proportion (0.002%) of the Great Victoria Desert (the GVD which covers ~ 42Mha), which is one of the known habitats of the SMM. As a result of the surveys conducted by the TJV, the proposed operational footprint has been designed to avoid most of the dune area, which are known to be the preferred habitat of the SMM.

Following the successful rehabilitation of the site it is likely that affected faunal species will re-colonise of the Operational Area from neighbouring undisturbed areas.

☐ Are you aware of any recent fauna surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this proposal?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  If yes, please attach a copy of any related survey reports and provide the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey/s. (If no, please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.)

There was an absence of detailed baseline fauna information for the proposed Project area existed prior to the commencement of the TJVs activities in the area. Some data was available for the adjacent Plumridge Lakes Nature Reserve and other DEC estate in the area. The TJV commissioned baseline terrestrial and subterranean fauna surveys, short-range endemic invertebrate surveys as well as targeted threatened species surveys for Southern Marsupial Mole and Sandhill Dunnart (*Sminthopsis psammophila*). These surveys have been conducted for the Operational Area and have also encompassed the surrounding area to provide regional context. Both Mine Access Road Routes and the Minigwal Basin have also been surveyed. The TJVs surveys have identified the presence of several species of conservation significance in the area, see below.

A summary report detailing all the fauna work undertaken by the TJV has been attached to this submission (Attachment 2).

☐ Has a search of DEC records for known occurrences of Specially Protected (Threatened) fauna been conducted for the site?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  (please tick)

☐ Are there any known occurrences of Specially Protected (Threatened) fauna on the site? #

☐ Yes  ☐ No  If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.

The fauna surveys commissioned by the TJV have provided information on the presence of conservation significant fauna in and around the proposed Project area including the:

- Southern Marsupial Mole (Endangered)
- Malleefowl (Vulnerable)
- Sandhill Dunnart (Endangered)
- Peregrine Falcon (Schedule 4)
- Great Desert Skink (Vulnerable) (to be confirmed)
- Australian Bustard (P4)
- Rainbow Bee-eater (EPBC-protected – Migratory)
2.3 Rivers, Creeks, Wetlands and Estuaries

- Will the development occur within 200m of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
  (please tick) ☑ No  **If yes, complete the rest of this section**
  ☑ Yes  **If no, go to the next section**

- Will the development result in the clearing of vegetation within the 200 m zone?
  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  **If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.**

- Will the development result in the filling or excavation of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  **If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.**

- Will the development result in the impoundment of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  **If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.**

- Will the development result in draining to a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  **If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.**

- Are you aware if the proposal will impact on a river, creek, wetland or estuary (or its buffer) within one of the following categories? (please tick)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Category Wetland</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Environmental Protection (Swan Coastal Plain Wetlands) Policy 2004</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Protection (South West Agricultural Zone Wetlands) Policy 1998</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perth's Bush Forever site</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Protection (Swan &amp; Canning Rivers) Policy 1998</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The management area as defined in s4(1) of the Swan River Trust Act 1988</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which is subject to an international agreement,</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>because of the importance of the wetland for waterbirds and waterbird habitats (e.g. Ramsar, JAMBA, CAMBA) #</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Significant Areas and/ or Land Features

- Is the proposed development located within or adjacent to an existing or proposed National Park or Nature Reserve?
  ☑ Yes  ☐ No  **If yes, please provide details.**

  Plumridge Lakes Nature Reserve is adjacent to the Operational Area (approximately 14km SE).

- Are you aware of any Environmentally Sensitive Areas (as declared by the Minister under section 51B of the EP Act) that will be impacted by the proposed development?
2.5 Coastal Zone Areas (Coastal Dunes and Beaches)

- Are you aware of any significant natural land features (e.g. caves, ranges etc) that will be impacted by the proposed development?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please provide details.

- Will the development occur within 300m of a coastal area?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, complete the rest of this section

  If no, go to the next section

- What is the expected setback of the development from the high tide level and from the primary dune?

- Will the development impact on coastal areas with significant landforms including beach ridge plain, cuspate headland, coastal dunes or karst?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.

- Is the development likely to impact on mangroves?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.

2.6 Marine Areas and Biota

- Is the development likely to impact on an area of sensitive benthic communities, such as seagrasses, coral reefs or mangroves?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.

- Is the development likely to impact on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for reservation (as described in A Representative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM, 1994)?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.

- Is the development likely to impact on marine areas used extensively for recreation or for commercial fishing activities?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).

2.7 Water Supply and Drainage Catchments

- Are you in a proclaimed or proposed groundwater or surface water protection area?
  - Yes
  - No

  If yes, please describe what category of area.

  The Project area is within the Goldfields and Nullarbor Groundwater Management Area, both are Proclaimed Groundwater Areas. A small area is located in a non-prescribed water area.

- Are you in an existing or proposed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control area?
(You may need to contact the DoW for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website)

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please describe what category of area.

☐ Are you in a Public Drinking Water Supply Area (PDWSA)?

(You may need to contact the DoW for more information or refer to the DoW website. A proposal to clear vegetation within a PDWSA requires approval from DoW.)

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please describe what category of area.

☐ Is there sufficient water available for the proposal?

(Please consult with the DoW as to whether approvals are required to source water as you propose. Where necessary, please provide a letter of intent from the DoW)

☑ Yes  ☐ No  (please tick)

☐ Will the proposal require drainage of the land?

☑ Yes  ☐ No  If yes, how is the site to be drained and will the drainage be connected to an existing Local Authority or Water Corporation drainage system? Please provide details.

Dewatering in parts of the Operational Area (e.g. the pit) will be required to facilitate the mining activities. Dewatering activities will occur via a series of dewatering bores and internal sumps. The water generated by these activities will be used for dust suppression and mineral processing.

☐ Is there a water requirement for the construction and/ or operation of this proposal?

(please tick)  ☑ Yes  If yes, complete the rest of this section  ☐ No  If no, go to the next section

☐ What is the water requirement for the construction and operation of this proposal, in kl/year?

Up to 7ML/annum (water will be used for both processing and dust suppression).

☐ What is the proposed source of water for the proposal? (eg dam, bore, surface water etc.)?

Bores in the Water Supply Area and surface water run-off from the Operational Area.

2.8 Pollution

☐ Is there likely to be any discharge of pollutants from this development, such as noise, vibration, gaseous emissions, dust, liquid effluent, solid waste or other pollutants?

(please tick)  ☑ Yes  If yes, complete the rest of this section  ☐ No  If no, go to the next section

☐ Is the proposal a prescribed premise, under the Environmental Protection Regulations?  
(Refer to the EPA General Guide for Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act 1986 for more information)

☑ Yes  ☐ No  If yes, please describe what category of prescribed premise.

Category 5 Processing or Benefaction of Metallic or Non Metallic Ore
Category 6 Mine Dewatering
Category 52 Power Generation (10Mw or more by fuel other natural gas)
Category 57 Used Tyre Storage (General)
Category 63 Class I inert Landfill
Category 64 Class II or III putrescibles Landfill site
The Project will generate particulate matter, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), greenhouse gas emissions, nitrogen and sulfur oxides (NOx and SOx) and carbon monoxide from the Power Station and hydrogen cyanide (HCN) gas from the processing plant and TSF.

Have you done any modelling or analysis to demonstrate that air quality standards will be met, including consideration of cumulative impacts from other emission sources?

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please briefly describe.

Will the proposal result in liquid effluent discharge?

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment.

If there is likely to be discharges to a watercourse or marine environment, has any analysis been done to demonstrate that the State Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate standards will be able to be met?

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please describe. Not applicable.

Will the proposal produce or result in solid wastes?

☑ Yes  ☐ No  If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ method.

The Project will generate putrescible and general industrial waste, waste rock and processing wastes such as tailings. Putrescible waste and some industrial wastes will be disposed of in the onsite landfill to be located in the Operational Area. Other industrial wastes will be packaged up and sent off-site for disposal at authorised locations. The waste rock will be managed onsite and the tailings material will be disposed of in a specifically designed TSF.

Will the proposal result in significant off-site noise emissions?

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please briefly describe.

Will the development be subject to the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations?

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, has any analysis been carried out to demonstrate that the proposal will comply with the Regulations? Please attach the analysis.

Does the proposal have the potential to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, odour or another pollutant that may affect the amenity of residents and other “sensitive premises” such as schools and hospitals (proposals in this category may include intensive agriculture, aquaculture, marinas, mines and quarries etc.)?

☐ Yes  ☑ No  If yes, please describe and provide the distance to residences and other “sensitive premises”.

If the proposal has a residential component or involves “sensitive premises”, is it located near a land use that may discharge a pollutant?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☑ Not Applicable  If yes, please describe and provide the distance to the potential pollution source.
2.9 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

☐ Is this proposal likely to result in substantial greenhouse gas emissions (greater than 100 000 tonnes per annum of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions)?

☑ Yes ☐ No If yes, please provide an estimate of the annual gross emissions in absolute and in carbon dioxide equivalent figures.

The Project is predicted to emit between 240,000 – 500,000t/annum.

☐ Further, if yes, please describe proposed measures to minimise emissions, and any sink enhancement actions proposed to offset emissions.

The Project has been designed to optimise the energy efficiency of the plant and associated infrastructure and thus reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. It is yet to be determined if the onsite Power Station will be a diesel or LNG or natural gas facility. Consideration is also being given to the establishment of a Thermal Solar Power Station.

In addition to the site Power Station, a remote power station will be required to pump water from the Water Supply Area, which will be located between 30 – 100km from the Operational Area.

Evaluation of renewable energy options such as photovoltaic solar panels and wind energy utilisation will be considered for non-base load requirements of the Project including village facilities and ancillary infrastructure.

2.10 Contamination

☐ Has the property on which the proposal is to be located been used in the past for activities which may have caused soil or groundwater contamination?

☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unsure If yes, please describe.

☐ Has any assessment been done for soil or groundwater contamination on the site?

☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please describe.

☐ Has the site been registered as a contaminated site under the Contaminated Sites Act 2003? (on finalisation of the CS Regulations and proclamation of the CS Act)

☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please describe.

2.11 Social Surroundings

☐ Is the proposal on a property, which contains or is near a site of Aboriginal ethnographic or archaeological significance that may be disturbed?

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure If yes, please describe.

The TJV has undertaken detailed ethnographic and archaeological surveys since the commencement of exploration activities in the region. This work has located a number of archaeological sites such as gnamma holes, potential quarry site, artefact scatters, and breakaways containing caves and other material of interest.

The proposed footprint of the project and its supporting infrastructure have been designed to prevent the damage or destruction of any recorded site.

☐ Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of high public interest (for example, a major recreation area or natural scenic feature)?

☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, please describe.

☐ Will the proposal result in or require substantial transport of goods, which may affect the amenity of the local area?
Due to the remote location of the Project, all freight and production consumables will be transported to and from the site via road-trains during the construction, commissioning, operation and decommissioning of the mine. There will be no requirement to transport large quantities of product from the mine site as the processed gold will be small in volume (in comparison to other mining projects in WA which require the transport of large quantities of product e.g., nickel concentrate). It is not anticipated that transport requirements for the Project will negatively impact the amenity of the area, as the surrounding area is largely uninhabited (see Figure 1 for location of towns and settlements).

2.12 Risk

☐ Is the proposal located near a hazardous industrial plant or high-pressure gas pipeline?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ If yes, please describe.

☐ Does the proposal have the potential to generate off-site risk?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ If yes, will the proposal be a major hazardous facility regulated under the Explosives and Dangerous Goods Act?

The Project will be regulated under the Dangerous Goods Safety Act due to the need to store explosives, cyanide, aviation fuel and LNG.

3. MANAGEMENT

3.1 Principles of Environmental Protection

☐ Have you considered how your project gives attention to the following Principles, as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For information on the Principles of Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statement No. 7, available on the EPA web.)

1. The precautionary principle.  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
2. The principle of intergenerational equity.  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
3. The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms.  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
5. The principle of waste minimisation.  ☑ Yes  ☐ No

☐ Is the proposal consistent with the EPA’s Position Statements (available on the EPA web)?
☑ Yes  ☐ No

3.2 Management Commitments

☐ How has the proposal been developed to avoid, minimise and manage potential impacts?
Please describe any specific commitments you make as the proponent to minimising the potential environmental impacts of this development.

Environmental surveys have been undertaken across the Project area and in adjacent areas to identify environmental constraints to the Project (i.e. heritage sites and threatened flora and fauna). The results of surveys completed to date have been used to
site infrastructure in such a way as to minimise impact to the existing environment (e.g. the village will be sited away from preferred habitat of the SMM, and the Mine Access Road will be aligned to minimise environmental impacts).

In addition, environmental risk assessments have been conducted for the major components of the Project:

- Mine and Waste Rock management
- Crushing, Processing Plant and Tailings Storage Facility
- Village, Communications, Airstrip, Village, Logistics & Waste Management
- Project-wide issues

These workshops aimed to identify environmental risks and appropriate management practices. An Environmental Management Plan for the Project is being developed to document appropriate environmental management activities and techniques, and this will form part of the environmental documentation.

### 3.3 Consultation

- [ ] Has public consultation taken place (such as with other government agencies, community groups or neighbours), or is it intended that consultation shall take place?

  - [x] Yes  
  - [ ] No

  **If yes, please list those consulted and attach comments or summarise response on a separate sheet.**

Consultation has been undertaken with the following groups and is ongoing with key stakeholders:

- Department of Environment and Conservation (Environment Management Branch, Kalgoorlie Regional Division and Science Division)
- Federal Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts
- Office of Development Approvals Coordination
- Department of Industry and Resources (Titles, Aboriginal Enterprises, Environment)
- Office of the Deputy Premier, Treasurer and Minister for State Development
- Department of Water (Kalgoorlie and Perth)
- Shire of Menzies
- City of Kalgoorlie Boulder
- Shire of Laverton
- Tjuntjuntjarra Aboriginal Corporation
- North East Independent Body as authorised representatives of the Wongatha people
- Office of Native Title
- Department of Indigenous Affairs
- Goldfields Land and Sea Council
- Goldfields Esperance Development Commission
- Conservation Council of Western Australia
- Wildflower Society (Perth and Kalgoorlie Region)
• Wilderness Society (WA Branch)
• Rangelands NRM Coordinating Group
• Goldfields Naturalists
• World Wildlife Fund (WA, Threatened Species Group)

Consultations are schedule to occur with
• Friend of the Great Victoria Desert
• Malleefowl Preservation Society
## CHECKLIST AND DECLARATION

Before you submit this form, have you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Have you attached any extra information, such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Site plans?
- Detailed explanations?
- Comments obtained during consultation?

### Have you included any electronic information, such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A CD of the referral and documentation, in PDF format, excluding any confidential information?
- A CD of the spatial data?
- Any other relevant information?

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the following question. (Your response is Optional)

☑️ **DO YOU CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL REQUIRES FORMAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT?**

(Information on the levels of environmental impact assessment is available on the EPA website at www.epa.wa.gov.au)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NOT SURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**If YES, what level of assessment?**

- [ ] ASSESSMENT ON REFERRAL INFORMATION
- [ ] ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION STATEMENT
- ✔️ PUBLIC ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
- [ ] ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME
- [ ] STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

I, Belinda Bastow declare that I have completed all of the questions in this form and attached the requested information and declare that the information contained in this form is, to my knowledge, true and not misleading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Signature]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belinda Bastow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Manager, AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Government Agency Contact Details

Environmental Protection Authority
Level 8, The Atrium
168 St Georges Tce
PERTH WA 6000

Please mail completed referrals to:
Postal address:
Locked Bag 33
CLOISTERS SQUARE WA 6850
Website: www.epa.wa.gov.au

EPA Service Unit
Level 8, The Atrium
168 St Georges Tce
PERTH WA 6000

Telephone: (08) 6467 5000
Facsimile: (08) 6467 5562
Website: www.dec.wa.gov.au

Contact details for the head offices of the primary agencies involved in development proposals follow. You may need to contact your relevant district or regional office (details of all State Government agencies are available on the website of the Department of the Premier and Cabinet, www.dpc.wa.gov.au). You will also need to contact your Local Government Authority in the first instance. For some proposals, consultation with or referral to Commonwealth agencies may be required.

Department of Environment and Conservation
The Atrium
168 St Georges Tce
Perth WA 6000

For Licensing and Clearing Permits under Part V -
Telephone: (08) 6467 5000
Website: www.dec.wa.gov.au

Department of Water
The Atrium
168 St Georges Terrace
Perth WA 6000

Telephone: (08) 6364 7600
Website: www.water.wa.gov.au

Department of Industry & Resources
Mineral House
100 Plain St
East Perth WA 6004

Telephone: (08) 9327 5555
Website: www.doir.wa.gov.au

Department of Fisheries
3rd floor, SGIO Atrium
168 St George’s Terrace
Perth WA 6000

Telephone: (08) 9482 7333
Website: www.wa.gov.au/westfish

Department for Planning and Infrastructure (including Bush Forever Office)
Albert Facey House
469 Wellington Street
Perth WA 6000

Telephone: (08) 9264 7777
Telephone: 1800 626 477 (Bush Forever Office)
Website: www.planning.wa.gov.au

Department of Indigenous Affairs
Level 1, 197 St George’s Terrace
PERTH WA 6000

Telephone: (08) 9235 8000
Website: www.dia.wa.gov.au

Health Department of Western Australia
189 Royal St
EAST PERTH WA 6004

Telephone: (08) 9222 4222
Website
GHD

GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce, Perth, WA 6004
P.O. Box Y3106, Perth WA 6832
T: 61 8 6222 8222  F: 61 8 6222 8555  E: permail@ghd.com.au
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